Annexure "A" to the Performance Agreement

Padornanca Plan



Name: Mr. Lesiba Frans Masibe

Position: Acting Municipal Manager

Accountable to: The Mayor


Plan Period: 1 July 2013 – 30 June 2014

2 RA



CONTENTS

| 1. | INTRODUCTION | 3 |
|-----|---|----|
| 2. | PURPOSE OF THE POSITION | 4 |
| 3. | SERVICE DELIVERY AND PERFORMANCE INDICATORS | 6 |
| 4. | RE COMPETENCY REQUIREMENTS | 32 |
| 5. | SUMMARY SCORECARD | 34 |
| 6. | RATING SCALES | 35 |
| 7. | PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT PROCESS | 36 |
| 8. | APPROVAL | 38 |
| ANN | EXURE A1 - CORE MANAGERIAL COMPETENCIES DETAILS | 39 |





INTRODUCTION

PURPOSE:

The performance plan defines the Council's expectations of the employee's performance agreement to which this document is attached and Section 57 (5) of the Municipal Systems Act, which provides that performance objectives and targets must be based on the key performance indicators as set in the Municipality's Integrated Development Plan (IDP) and the Municipality's Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (SDBIP) and as reviewed annually.

STRATEGIC ALIGNMENT:

The Objects of Local Government as outlined in the Constitution, Key Performance Areas (KPAs) as outlined in the Local Government: Municipal Planning and Performance Management Regulations (2001) inform the strategic objectives per BSC are listed in the table below. The indicators and targets are aligned to contribute to the achievement of the objectives over the longer term, in so far it is relevant to the functions of the employee.

| OBJECTS OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT | КРА | STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE |
|---|---|---|
| Provide democratic and accountable government for local communities | Municipal Transformation and Organisational Development | To ensure that all stakeholders within the institution are adequately capacitated and retained |
| | Financial Viability | Sound and efficient financial management |
| | Good Governance and Public Participation | Develop and implement efficient management and governance systems |
| Encourage the involvement of communities and community organisations in the matters of local government | | To improve the quantity and quality of municipal infrastructure and services |
| Promote a safe and healthy environment Ensure the provision of services to communities in a sustainable manner. | Service Delivery and Infrastructure Investment | To improve the quantity and quality of municipal infrastructure and services |
| Promote social and economic development | Social and Local Economic Development | To create inclusive and well coordinated investment opportunities for the growth of the economy |
| | | Improve the quality of lives through social development and the provision of effective community services |





| OBJECTS OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT | КРА | STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| | Spatial and Environmental Management | Foster, regulate, maintain and promote a sustainable environment |
| | | The optimum utilisation of land |

PURPOSE OF THE POSITION

The employee undertakes to be committed to the following strategic intent of the municipality:

The Vision:

"To be the leading, sustainable and diversified economic hub focused on community needs"

The Mission:

Mogalakwena municipality is committed to develop communities and promote economic growth by:

- providing affordable and quality basic services;
- > creating a sustainable environment for social and economic development; and
- > being consultative, responsive and accountable

The Values:

Driven by the needs of our communities, Mogalakwena Municipality will:

- > respect and uphold the Constitution,
- > uphold the Code of Conduct for Councillors and Officials,
- > ensure sound financial management, and
- > uphold the Batho Pele principles.





The employee is accountable and responsible for amongst others:

- > The formation and development of an economical, effective, efficient and accountable administration that is equipped to carry out the task of implementing the municipality Integrated Development Plan (IDP) and responsible to the needs of the local community
- > As Accounting Officer, the cost effective management of the municipality's budget and the timely implementation of resolutions
- > The implementation of the municipality's IDP and monitoring the progress with the implementation of the plan
- > The management and monitoring of Municipal services provided to local community in a sustainable and equitable manner
- > The administration and implementation of the Municipality's by-laws and other legislation, including the implementation of National and Provincial directives, policies and legislation
- > Exercising powers delegated to the Municipal Manager by the Municipal Council and other authorities of the Municipality
- > Rendering administrative and strategic support to the Mayor and other political structures in Council





3. SERVICE DELIVERY AND PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

The indicators and targets for which the employee is responsible to achieve and report on follows:

| KPI NO | PERFORMANCE INDICATORS | BASELINE (2012-2013) | ANNUAL TARGET (2013-2014) | QUARTERLY TARGETS | METHOD OF CALCULATION | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION (POE) |
|-----------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| KPA1: | : BASIC SERVICE DELIVERY A | ND INFRASTRUCTU | JRE INVESTMENT | | | |
| IDP S | TRATEGIC OBJECTIVE: TO IN | IPROVE THE QUAN | TITY AND QUALITY OF | MUNICIPAL INFRASTRUCTURE AND |) SERVICES | |
| PROG | RAMME / FOCUS AREA: BU | ILDING CONTROL | | | | |
| 1 | Average Number of | 30 days for | 21 days for plans | Q1: 21 days for plans <500m2 | Add up all the days it took to | Records |
| | days for the processing | plans <500m2 (| <500m2 | Q2: 21 days for plans <500m2 | process each plan/ number | |
| | of building plans | as per NBR) | | Q3: 21 days for plans <500m2 | of plans processed *100 | |
| | <500m2 YTD | | | Q4: 21 days for plans <500m2 | | |
| 2 | Average Number of | 60 days > 40 days > 500 m2 | 40 days > 500 m2 | Q1: 40 days > 500 m2 | Add up all the days it took to process each plan/ number of plans processed *100 | Records |
| | days for the processing | 500m2 (as per | | Q2: 40 days > 500 m2 | | |
| | of building plans | NBR) | | Q3: 40 days > 500 m2 | | |
| | >500m2 YTD | | | Q4: 40 days > 500 m2 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | : | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | , |
| | | | | | | |





| KPI NO | PERFORMANCE INDICATORS | BASELINE (2012-2013) | ANNUAL TARGET (2013-2014) | QUARTERLY TARGETS | METHOD OF CALCULATION | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION (POE) |
|-----------|--|-------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| PROG | RAMME / FOCUS AREA: ELI | ECTRIFICATION | | | | |
| 3 | Total number of households provided with access to basic level of electricity by the municipality (Excluding Eskom) y.t.d. | 70284 | 1392 YTD | Q1: 0 YTD (Designs completed and approved by Eskom) Q2: 0 YTD (Contractors appointed. Medium Voltage networks and low voltage reticulation 50% completed) Q3: 0 YTD (Medium Voltage networks and low voltage reticulation completed. 400 house connections completed) Q4: 1392 house connections completed YTD | Count total number of households provided with electricity connections YTD | Finalisation reports from consultants |
| 4 | Number of High mast lights installed and completed | 92 (5 in 2012/2013) | 6 high mast lights installed and completed | Q1: 0 YTD (Eskom connection applications completed. Tender for installation of high mast lights approved and advertised) Q2: 0 YTD (Eskom connections paid. Tender for installation of high mast lights awarded) | Count number of high mast lights installed YTD | Finalisation reports from the contractors |





| KPI NO | PERFORMANCE INDICATORS | BASELINE (2012-2013) | ANNUAL TARGET (2013-2014) | QUARTERLY TARGETS | METHOD OF CALCULATION | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION (POE) |
|-----------|--|-------------------------|------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--|
| | | | | Q3: 0 YTD (High mast light foundations completed) | | |
| | | | | Q4: 6 high mast lights installed and completed | - | |
| PROG | RAMME / FOCUS AREA: EL | ECTRICITY LOSS CO | _ Ontrol | | | |
| 5 | Percentage electricity | 12.28% | 11.00% | Q1: 11.5% | KWH billed/KWH purchased | Monthly departmental |
| | loss | | | Q2: 11.4% | from Eskom *100 | reports |
| | | | | Q3: 11.2% | 1 | |
| | | | | Q4: 11% | | |
| PROG | RAMME / FOCUS AREA: EN | NERGY EFFICIENCY | | | de | |
| 6 | Number of awareness | New 4 | 4 | Q1: 1 | Count number of campaigns held YTD | News paper clips, e- mails sent out, events |
| | campaigns conducted | | | Q2: 2 | | |
| | on the installation of | | | Q3: 3 | | arranged |
| | energy efficiency equipments through multi media | | | Q4: 4 | | |
| 7 | Number of | New | 1 | Q1: Not applicable this quarter | Count number of | Written proof of |
| | investigations of | | | Q2: Not applicable this quarter | investigations conducted YTD | investigation conducted |
| | implementing energy efficient generation | | | Q3: Not applicable this quarter | | and implemented |
| | heating/cooling conducted | | | Q4: 1 | | |
| PROG | RAMME / FOCUS AREA: FR | REE BASIC SERVICES | S | | | |
| 8 | Number of awareness | New | 4 | Q1: 1 | Count number of awareness | Notices, Newspaper |
| | campaigns conducted | | | Q2: 2 | campaigns held YTD | adverts |
| | to update the indigent | | | Q3: 3 | | |





| KPI NO | PERFORMANCE INDICATORS | BASELINE (2012-2013) | ANNUAL TARGET (2013-2014) | QUARTERLY TARGETS | METHOD OF CALCULATION | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION (POE) |
|-----------|--|--|---|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| | register | | | Q4: 4 | | |
| PROG | RAMME / FOCUS AREA: HO | USING | | | | |
| 9 | Number of RDP houses assessed for National Building regulations | 716 | 700 | Q1: 0 YTD (Sites establishment. Final beneficiaries identification and verification) | Count number of RDP houses constructed YTD | Housing Assessment Reports |
| | quality compliance | | | Q2: 233 houses constructed | | |
| | | | | Q3: 466 houses constructed | | |
| | | | | Q4: 700 houses constructed | | |
| PROG | RAMME / FOCUS AREA: LAI | NDSCAPING AND E | BEAUTIFICATION | | | |
| 10 | Number of trees | 60 | 240 | Q1: 60 | Count number of trees | Reports |
| | planted | Q2: 120 planted Q3: 180 | planted | | | |
| | • | | | Q3: 180 | | |
| | , | | | Q4: 240 | | |
| 11 | with the development and compliance with the maintenance plan for cemeteries, parks, | Implementation of maintenance plan pr cemeteries, parks, | Q1: 75% (Approval of maintenance plan) | Determine % progress with process according to planned | Maintance plan, reports | |
| | | | Q2: 100% Implementation of activities on the maintenance plan | of the maintenance plan. To determine % implementation | | |
| | nursery, swimming pool, sport facilities and land fills sites | | | Q3: 100% Implementation of activities on the maintenance plan | of the maintenance plan calculate: Number of activities on the maintenance plan implemented YTD / | |






| KPI NO | PERFORMANCE INDICATORS | BASELINE (2012-2013) | ANNUAL TARGET (2013-2014) | QUARTERLY TARGETS | METHOD OF CALCULATION | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION (POE) |
|-----------|--|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| | | | | Q4: 100% Implementation of activities on the maintenance plan | Number of activities on the maintenance plan to have been implemented YTD *100 | |
| PROG | RAMME / FOCUS AREA: RC | DADS AND STORM V | VATER . | | | |
| 12 | Number of km's of | 438 Km | 36.8 km | Q1: 9.2 km | Count number of km's of | Advertisements, |
| | gravel roads upgraded | | | | | Evaluation Report, Bid |
| | to tar (Bus and Taxi | | Q3: 27.6 km | YTD | Adjudication minutes, | |
| | Route) | | | Q4: 36.8 km | | Appointment Letters, Payment Certificate for site establishment, Invoices, Completion Certificate |
| 13 | Percentage Progress | Development of | 100% | Q1: 10% Progress (Preliminary | Determine % progress with | QBS Advertisements, |
| | with the development of the road and storm | Roads and Stormwater | Implementation (Urban and Peri- | designs (Urban and Peri Urban) | process according to planned activities | Term of Reference, Preliminary Design |
| | water master plan (Urban and Peri- Urban) | 1 ' | Urban) | Q2: 20% Progress (Advertisement for service provider for implementation) | | Repor, Final Design, Adverts for Contractors, Invoices, Completion Certificate |
| | | | | Q3: 70% Progress (Implementation of the plan (Urban and Peri-Urban) | | |





| KPI NO | PERFORMANCE INDICATORS | BASELINE (2012-2013) | ANNUAL TARGET (2013-2014) | QUARTERLY TARGETS | METHOD OF CALCULATION | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION (POE) |
|-----------|--|-------------------------|------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| | | | | Q4: 100% Progress (Implementation of the plan (Urban and Peri-Urban) | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 14 | Number of km's of | 207km | 148km | Q1: 37km | Count number of km's gravel | Advertisements, |
| | gravel roads to be | | | Q2: 74km | roads regraveled YTD | Evaluation Report, Bid Adjudication minutes, |
| | regravelled YTD | | | Q3: 111km | | |
| | | | | Q4: 148km | | Appointment Letters, Payment Certificate for site establishment, Invoices, Completion Certificate |
| 15 | Number of km's of | 37km | 40km | Q1: 10km | Count number of km's of | Advertisements, |
| | dilapidated internal | | | Q2: 20km | delapidated internal village | Evaluation Report, Bid |
| | village streets | | : | Q3: 30km | streets regravelled and | Adjudication minutes, |
| | regravelled and bladed YTD | d and bladed | | Q4: 40km | bladed YTD | Appointment Letters, Payment Certificate for site establishment, Invoices, Completion Certificate |
| PROG | RAMME / FOCUS AREA: HC | USEHOLD SANITA | ATION | | | |
| 16 | Number of V.I.P toilets constructed in rural areas | 600 | 500 | Q1: 0 YTD (Site establishment and final beneficiaries' verification with constructor) | Count number of VIPs constructed YTD | VIP Toilet construction Report |





| KPI NO | PERFORMANCE INDICATORS | BASELINE (2012-2013) | ANNUAL TARGET (2013-2014) | QUARTERLY TARGETS | METHOD OF CALCULATION | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION (POE) |
|-----------|--|---|------------------------------|--|--|---|
| | | | | Q2: Construction of 166 VIP toilets | | |
| | | | | Q3: Construction of 332 VIP toilets | | |
| | | | | Q4: Construction of 500 VIP toilets | | |
| PROG | _ RAMME / FOCUS AREA: SE | U WER O&M | | | | |
| 17 | Green drop rating | 41% | 70% | Q1: Not applicable this quarter (only applicable to 4th qtr) Q2: Not applicable this quarter (only applicable to 4th qtr) Q3: Not applicable this quarter (only applicable to 4th qtr) Q4: 70% | Provide green drop audit rating received | Assessment Report |
| PROG | L RAMME / FOCUS AREA: SE ¹ | UWER RETICULATION | DN | | | |
| 18 | Number of additional 22159 | Number of additional 22159 128 water borne sanitation | Q1: 32 Q2: 64 | Count number of additional water borne sanitation | Advertisements, Evaluation Report, Bid | |
| | connections provided | | | Q3: 96 | connections provided YTD | Adjudication minutes, |
| | | | | Q4: 128 | | Appointment Letters, Payment Certificate for site establishment, Invoices, Completion Certificate |
| 19 | Total number of urban | 22159 | 128 | Q1: 32 | Count number of urban | Advertisements, |
| | households with access to at least and above | | | Q2: 64 | households with access to at least and above minimum | Evaluation Report, Bid Adjudication minutes, |
| | to at least and above | | | Q3: 96 | least and above minimum | Aujudication minutes, |





| KPI NO | PERFORMANCE INDICATORS | BASELINE (2012-2013) | ANNUAL TARGET (2013-2014) | QUARTERLY TARGETS | METHOD OF CALCULATION | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION (POE) |
|-----------|--|--|--|---|---|---|
| | minimum level of water borne sewer system | | | Q4: 128 | level of water borne sewer system YTD | Appointment Letters, Payment Certificate for site establishment, Invoices, Completion Certificate |
| PROG | RAMME / FOCUS AREA: SE\ | ⊥ WER TREATMENT F | ACILITIES | | | |
| 20 | Percentage progress with the construction of additional sewer plants WWTW (2x 5MI) | ne construction of establishment/ Appointment of consulting process according to plant engineers) process according to plant engineers | establishment/ | Appointment of consulting | Determine % progress with process according to planned activities | QBS Advertisements, Term of Reference, Preliminary Design |
| | | | | Report, Final Design, Adverts for Contractors, Invoices, Completion Certificate | | |
| | | | | Q3: 30% (Implementation) Q4: 50% Progress (Site establishment/ implementation) | - | Certificate |
| 21 | Percentage progress with the construction of maturation ponds | h the construction of establishment/ | Q1: 10% Progress (Appointment of consulting engineers) | Determine % progress with process according to planned activities | QBS Advertisements, Term of Reference, Preliminary Design | |
| | | | | Q2: 20% Progress Preliminary design report and appointment | | Report, Final Design, Adverts for Contractors, |





| KPI NO | PERFORMANCE INDICATORS | BASELINE (2012-2013) | ANNUAL TARGET (2013-2014) | QUARTERLY TARGETS | METHOD OF CALCULATION | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION (POE) |
|-------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| | | | | Q3: 100% Progress (Site establishment/implementation) | | Invoices, Completion Certificate |
| | | | | Q4: 100% Progress (Site establishment/implementation) | | |
| PROGI 22 | RAMME / FOCUS AREA: REI | - FUSE REMOVAL AI 52342 | ND SOLID WASTE DISPO | SAL Q1: 52342 | Count number of households | Quarterly reports |
| 22 | and businesses receiving weekly | 52542 | Q1: 52342 Q2: 52342 | and businesses receiving weekly kerbside collection | Quarterly reports | |
| | | | Q3: 52342 | | | |
| | kerbside collection | cerbside collection | Q4: 52342 | | | |
| 23 | Percentage progress with the development | with the development Into | th the development Integrated Waste | Q1: 50% (Draft Integrated Waste Management Plan) | Determine % progress with process according to planned | Process Plan, Drafts, Report. |
| | | | Management Plan | Q2: 90% (Adoption and implementation) | activities | |
| | | | | Q3: 100% (Submission to MEC) | | |
| | | | | Q4: Not applicable this quarter (awaiting new financial year to implement) | | |
| 24 | Number of awareness | New | 1 | Q1: Not applicable | Count number of awareness | Reports |
| | programmes conducted | | | Q2: Not applicable | campaigns held YTD | |
| | on waste management | | | Q3: Not applicable | | |





| KPI NO | PERFORMANCE INDICATORS | BASELINE (2012-2013) | ANNUAL TARGET (2013-2014) | QUARTERLY TARGETS | METHOD OF CALCULATION | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION (POE) |
|-----------|--|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| | | | | Q4: 1 (as per availability of budget) | | |
| PROGE | RAMME / FOCUS AREA: BA | SIC WATER SUPPL | Υ | | | |
| 25 | Number of households provided with access to | led with access to Backlog 4380) water con | 4380 basic level of water connections | Q1: 0 YTD (Planning Process on SCM) | Count number of households provided with access to basic level of water y.t.d. | Advertisements, Evaluation Report, Bid Adjudication minutes, Appointment Letters, Payment Certificate for |
| | basic level of water y.t.d. | | installed | Q2: 0 YTD (Awarding of Bids and Appointment for Implementation) | | |
| | | | | Q3: 2190 basic level of water connections installed | | site establishment, Invoices, Completion Certificate |
| | | | | Q4: 4380 basic level of water connections installed | | |
| PROGE | RAMME / FOCUS AREA: WA | ATER PROVISION | | | | |
| 26 | Number of functional Boreholes | 130 | Total of 160 functional boreholes | Q1: 0 YTD (Planning Process based on SCM) | Count number of functional Boreholes | Advertisements, Evaluation Report, Bid |
| | | | | Q2: 0 YTD (Awarding and Appointment) | | Adjudication minutes, Appointment Letters, |





| KPI NO | PERFORMANCE INDICATORS | BASELINE (2012-2013) | ANNUAL TARGET (2013-2014) | QUARTERLY TARGETS | METHOD OF CALCULATION | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION (POE) |
|------------|---|-------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | | Q3: 0 YTD (Implementation of project) | | Payment Certificate for site establishment, |
| | | | | Q4: Total of 160 functional boreholes | | Invoices, Completion Certificate |
| PROG 27 | RAMME / FOCUS AREA: W. Number of new basic service water | ATER - RETICULATI 22159 | ON 36 | Q1: 9 Q2: 18 | Count number of new basic service water connections | Advertisements, Evaluation Report, Bid |
| | connections to be | | | Q3: 27 | provided (Urban) YTD | Adjudication minutes, |
| | provided (Urban) | | | Q4: 36 | | Appointment Letters, |
| | | | | 44.30 | | Payment Certificate for site establishment, Invoices, Completion Certificate |
| 28 | Number of new yard | 0 | 2000 (Phola park) | Q1: 0 YTD (Procurement | Count number of new yard | Advertisements, |
| | water connections | | | process) | water connections provided | Evaluation Report, Bid |
| | provided in peri-urban | | | Q2: 1000 | in peri-urban areas YTD | Adjudication minutes, |
| | areas | | | Q3: 1500 | | Appointment Letters, Payment Certificate for |
| | | | | Q4: 2000 | | site establishment, |
| | | | | | | Invoices, Completion Certificate |





| KPI NO | PERFORMANCE INDICATORS | BASELINE (2012-2013) | ANNUAL TARGET (2013-2014) | QUARTERLY TARGETS | METHOD OF CALCULATION | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION (POE) |
|-----------|---|-------------------------|--|---|--|--------------------------------|
| PROG | RAMME / FOCUS AREA: W | ATER O&M | | | • | |
| 29 | Percentage water | 26% | 25% | Q1: 25% | (Closing Stock-Closing stock | Quarterly Report |
| | losses | | | Q2: 25% | as per reading) ÷ (Total water | |
| | | | | Q3: 25% | for the month) | |
| | | | Q4: 25% Closing stock = Total water - Billing Total Water = Opening balance + purchases | | | |
| 30 | Blue drop rating | 60% | 95% | Q1: Not applicable this quarter (only applicable to 4th qtr) Q2: Not applicable this quarter (only applicable to 4th qtr) | Provide blue drop audit rating received | Assessment Report |
| | | | Q3: Not applicable this quarter (only applicable to 4th qtr) | | | |
| | | | | Q4: 95% | | |
| PROG | RAMME / FOCUS AREA: RC | OAD SAFETY AND T | RAFFIC CONTROL | | | |
| 31 | Number of speed | 106 | 120 | Q1: 30 | Count No. of speed checks | Speed lists |
| | checks conducted year | | | Q2: 60 | conducted year to date | |
| | to date | | | Q3: 90 | | |
| | | | | Q4: 120 | | |
| KPS 2: | GOOD GOVERNANCE AND | PUBLIC PARTICIPA | ATION | | | |
| DP \$T | RATEGIC OBJECTIVE: TO D | EVELOP AND IMPL | EMENT INTEGRATED M | ANAGEMENT AND GOVERNANCE S | YSTEMS | |
| PROG | RAMME / FOCUS AREA: GR | AP COMPLIANT AS | SSET REGISTER (ACCURA | ACY AND COMPLETENESS) | | |
| 32 | Percentage progress with the review and | 2011/12 asset register | 100% Complete asset register for | Q1: 100% Complete asset register for 2012/2013) | Determine % progress with process according to planned | 2012/2013 Asset Register |





| KPI NO | PERFORMANCE INDICATORS | BASELINE (2012-2013) | ANNUAL TARGET (2013-2014) | QUARTERLY TARGETS | METHOD OF CALCULATION | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION (POE) |
|-----------|--|--|---|--|---|---|
| | updating of asset register in line with | | 2012/2013) | Q2: Not applicable this quarter, completed in 1st quarter | activities | |
| | GRAP standards. | | | Q3: Not applicable this quarter, completed in 1st quarter | | |
| | | | | Q4: Not applicable this quarter, completed in 1st quarter | | |
| PROGI | RAMME / FOCUS AREA: MU | JNICIPAL BUILDING | GS | | | |
| 33 | Percentage progress with the development | h the development development of the maintenance plan municipal buildings development of the maintenance plan as per availability of budget) | development of the maintenance plan (as per availability of | Q1: 0% Not applicable this quarter | Determine % progress with process according to planned activities | Invoices, Progress Report |
| | and compliance with the maintenance plan | | | Q2: 0% Not applicable this quarter | | |
| | for municipal buildings and assets | | Q3: 50% progress (Development of the draft maintenance plan (as per availability of budget)) | | | |
| | | | | Q4: 100% Approval of the maintenance plan (as per availability of budget) | | |
| 34 | Percentage progress with the construction of | 0% progress (Planning | 25% Progress (Implementation of | Q1: 0% Progress (bid specification sitting) | Determine % progress with process according to planned | Advertisements, Evaluation Report, Bid Adjudication minutes, Appointment Letters, |
| | new offices | Stage) | construction) | Q2: 0% Progress (BAC and Advertisement) | activities | |





| KPI PERFO NO INDICA | RMANCE ATORS | BASELINE (2012-2013) | ANNUAL TARGET (2013-2014) | QUARTERLY TARGETS | METHOD OF CALCULATION | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION (POE) |
|---|--|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| | | | | Q3: 0% Progress (BAC-Award and Appointment) | | Payment Certificate for site establishment, |
| *************************************** | | | | Q4: 25% Progress (Implementation of construction) | | Invoices, Completion Certificate |
| with th | itage progress ne development | New | 100% Progress with the development of | Q1: 0% Not applicable this quarter | Determine % progress with process according to planned activities | Monthly reports and job cards |
| the ma | and compliance with the maintenance plan for municipal buildings and assets | | the maintenance plan | Q2: 0% Not applicable this quarter | | |
| | | | | Q3: 50% Progress (Draft maintenance plan) | | |
| | | | | Q4: 100% (Maintenance plan approved) | | |
| PROGRAMME, | / FOCUS AREA: MU | JNICIPAL LAND U | TILIZATION | | | |
| 36 Percen | tage progress | 0 | 100% complete | Q1: 0% Progress (Planning) | Determine % progress with | Invoices, Progress |
| | ne servicing of | | with implementation | Q2: 0% Progress (Planning) | process according to planned | Report |
| Ext. 13 | | | servicing Ext 13 | Q3: 50% progress (Implementation of project) | activities | |
| | | | | Q4: 100% complete with implementation servicing Ext 13 | | |
| PROGRAMME , | / FOCUS AREA: AN | TI-CORRUPTION A | AND FRAUD | with implementation servicing | | |





| KPI NO | PERFORMANCE INDICATORS | BASELINE (2012-2013) | ANNUAL TARGET (2013-2014) | QUARTERLY TARGETS | METHOD OF CALCULATION | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION (POE) |
|-----------|--|--|--|--|---|---|
| 37 | Percentage of Premier | 100% | 100% | Q1: 100% | Number of Premier hotline | Register |
| | hotline queries | | | Q2: 100% | queries addressed and | |
| | addressed and | | | Q3: 100% | responded to within 3 days of | |
| | responded to within 3 days of receipt | | | Q4: 100% | receipt YTD / Number of Premier hotline queries received YTD *100 | |
| 38 | Percentage of | 100% | 100% Q1: 100% Number of Presidential hotline queries addressed | Register | | |
| | Presidential hotline | | | Q2: 100% | • | |
| | queries addressed and | nded to within 3 Of receipt Q4: 100% Q4: 100% days of receipt YTD / Num of Presidential hotline | and responded to within 3 | | | |
| | days of receipt | | | Q4: 100% | | |
| PROG | RAMME / FOCUS AREA: AU | DITING | .1. | | queries (10 100 | |
| 39 | Unqualified audit | 100% | 100% Unqualified | Q1: n.a. | 100% = Unqualified Audit | AG Report |
| | report | Unqualified audit report | audit report | Q2: 100% Unqualified audit report | opinion | · |
| | | | | Q3: n.a. | 1 | |
| | | | Q4: n.a. | - | | |
| PROG | RAMME / FOCUS AREA: INT | EGRATED PLANNI | NG | | | |
| 40 | Percentage progress with the development and adoption of credible and quality IDP by May | 100% final credible IDP adopted by end May | 100% final credible IDP adopted by end May | Q1: 15% progress (Development and adoption of IDP process plan. IDP analysis phase conducted) Q2: 30% progress (Finalisation | Determine % progress with process according to planned activities | Process Plan, Attendance Registers and Minutes for IDP Steering Committee, Council Resolution |
| | Sy tridy | | | of IDP analysis. IDP strategy | | |





| KPI NO | PERFORMANCE INDICATORS | BASELINE (2012-2013) | ANNUAL TARGET (2013-2014) | QUARTERLY TARGETS | METHOD OF CALCULATION | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION (POE) |
|-----------|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| | | | | phase developed) | | |
| | | | | Q3: 50% progress (IDP draft tabled) | | |
| | | | | Q4: 100% (Public participation process concluded and final IDP adopted by end May) | | |
| PROG | RAMME / FOCUS AREA: M | IONITORING AND E | VALUATION | | | |
| 41 | Percentage of Senior | 100% | 100% | Q1: 100% | Number of Senior Managers (S57) with signed performance agreements year to date / number of filled senior manager positions *100 | Signed agreements |
| | Managers (S57) with signed performance agreements year to date | | | Q2: 100% | | |
| | | | | Q3: 100% | | |
| | | | | Q4: 100% | | |
| 42 | Percentage progress | 100% APR | 100% APR | Q1: 100% | Determine % progress with | Acknowledgement of |
| | with the compilation | completed and | completed and | Q2: n.a. | process according to planned | receipt from AG. |
| | and submission of | submitted to | submitted to AG for | Q3: n.a. | activities | |
| | Annual Performance Report to AG by end August | AG for auditing by end August | auditing by end August | Q4: n.a. | | |
| 43 | Percentage Progress with the compilation, | 70% | 100% | Q1: Not applicable this quarter | Determine % progress with process according to planned | Council Resolutions |
| | submission and approval of Annual | | | Q2: Not applicable this quarter | activities | |
| | Report to Council and | | | Q3: 100% | 1 | |





| KPI NO | PERFORMANCE INDICATORS | BASELINE (2012-2013) | ANNUAL TARGET (2013-2014) | QUARTERLY TARGETS | METHOD OF CALCULATION | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION (POE) |
|-----------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| | all stakeholders by end March | | | Q4: Not applicable this quarter | | |
| PROG | RAMME / FOCUS AREA: MI | UNICIPAL COMMU | JNICATION | | | |
| 44 | Number of external | 0 | 4 | Q1: 1 | Count the Number of external newsletter issues | Newsletters |
| | newsletter issues | | | Q2: 2 | | |
| | developed and | | | Q3: 3 | developed and distributed to | |
| | distributed to communities | | | Q4: 4 | communities YTD | |
| PROG | RAMME / FOCUS AREA: RIS | SK MANAGEMENT | - | | | |
| 45 | Percentage progress | Risk Policy | 100% (Risk | Q1: Not applicable this quarter. | Determine % progress with | Policy, Council |
| | with the review and | approved by | Management | To be completed in 4th qtr. | process according to planned | resolutions |
| | approval of Risk | 2010 | Policies Reviewed | Q2: Not applicable this quarter. | activities | |
| | Management related | | and Approved) | To be completed in 4th qtr. | | |
| | policies | | | Q3: Not applicable this quarter. | | |
| | | | | To be completed in 4th qtr. | | |
| | | | | Q4: 100% (Risk Management | | |
| | | | | Policies Reviewed and | | |
| | | | | Approved) | | |
| PROG | RAMME / FOCUS AREA: SP | ECIAL PROGRAMN | л MES (Youth, Disability, G | iender, Hiv/Aids) | | |
| 46 | Number of gender | 1 | 2 | Q1: Not applicable this quarter | Count Number of gender | Invitations, attendance |
| | awareness campaigns | | | Q2: 1 | awareness campaigns held | registers |
| | held successfully | | | Q3: Not applicable this quarter | successfully | |
| | | | | Q4: 2 YTD | | |
| 47 | Number of youth | 1 | 1 | Q1: Not applicable this quarter | Count Number of youth | Invitations, attendance |
| | awareness campaigns | | | Q2: Not applicable this quarter | programmes held | registers |
| | held successfully | | | Q3:Not applicable this quarter | successfully | |





| KPI NO | PERFORMANCE INDICATORS | BASELINE (2012-2013) | ANNUAL TARGET (2013-2014) | QUARTERLY TARGETS | METHOD OF CALCULATION | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION (POE) | |
|-----------|---|-------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | | | Q4: 1 | | | |
| 48 | Number of elderly | 1 | 1 | Q1: Not applicable this quarter | Count Number of elderly | Invitations, attendance | |
| | awareness campaigns | | | Q2: 1 | awareness campaigns held | registers | |
| | held successfully | | | Q3: Not applicable this quarter | successfully | | |
| | | | | Q4: Not applicable this quarter | | | |
| 49 | Number of disability 1 awareness campaigns held | awareness campaigns | · I | 1 | Q1: Not applicable this quarter | Count Number of disability | Invitations, attendance |
| | | | | Q2: 1 | awareness campaigns held | registers | |
| | | | | Q3: Not applicable this quarter | | | |
| | | | | Q4: Not applicable this quarter | | | |
| 50 | Number of HIV/AIDS | 1 | 1 | Q1: Not applicable this quarter | | Invitations, attendance | |
| | awareness campaigns | | | Q2: 1 | | registers | |
| | held | | | Q3: Not applicable this quarter | | | |
| | | | | Q4: Not applicable this quarter | | | |
| PROG | RAMME / FOCUS AREA: W | ARD COMMITTEES | | | | | |
| 51 | Number ward | 18 | 32 | Q1: 32 | Count Number ward | Ward reports | |
| | committees that are | | | Q2: 32 | committees that are | | |
| | functional | | | Q3: 32 | functional | | |
| | | | | Q4: 32 | - | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| KPA3: | MUNICIPAL FINANCIAL VI | ABILITY AND MANA | . L AGEMENT | | | | |





| KPI NO | PERFORMANCE INDICATORS | BASELINE (2012-2013) | ANNUAL TARGET (2013-2014) | QUARTERLY TARGETS | METHOD OF CALCULATION | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION (POE) |
|-----------|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| IDP S | TRATEGIC OBJECTIVE: SOUN | D AND EFFICIENT | FINANCIAL MANAGEME | NT | | |
| PROG | RAMME / FOCUS AREA: BU | DGET AND REPOR | TING | | | |
| 52 | Percentage progress with the timeous tabling of budget to Council | 100% submission of budget to council | 100% submission of draft and final budget to council by end May | Q1: Not applicable this quarter Q2: Not applicable this quarter Q3: 50% progress (submission of adjustment budget (end February) and draft budget (end March) to council) Q4: 100% progress (public participation, compilation of final budget and submitted to | Determine % progress with process according to planned activities | Council Resolutions |
| 53 | Percentage progress with the timeous submission of the Annual Financial Statements to AG. | 100% submission of AFS to AG by end August | 100% submission of AFS to AG by end August | Council for adoption by end May) Q1: 100% submission of AFS to AG by end August Q2: Not applicable this quarter Q3: Not applicable this quarter Q4: Not applicable this quarter | Determine % progress with process according to planned activities | Acknowledgement of receipt from AG. |
| 54 | Number of Sec. 71 Reports submitted to Provincial and National Treasury | 12 in total - 3 Reports per quarter (OSA, CAA, CFA, BSAC,AD, AC, RME) | 12 in total - 3 Reports per quarter (OSA, CAA, CFA, BSAC,AD, AC, RME) | Q1: 3 Reports (OSA, CAA, CFA, BSAC,AD, AC, RME) Q2: 3 Reports (OSA, CAA, CFA, BSAC,AD, AC, RME) Q3: 3 Reports (OSA, CAA, CFA, BSAC,AD, AC, RME) | Count Number of Sec. 71 Reports submitted to Provincial and National Treasury per quarter | Proof of submission, acknowledge of receipt |
| 55 | Number of Sec. 71 (C. | Total of 12 | Total of 12 reports - | Q4: 3 Reports (OSA, CAA, CFA, BSAC,AD, AC, RME) Q1: 3 Reports | Count Number of Sec. 71 (C. | Council Resolutions |





| KPI NO | PERFORMANCE INDICATORS | BASELINE (2012-2013) | ANNUAL TARGET (2013-2014) | QUARTERLY TARGETS | METHOD OF CALCULATION | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION (POE) |
|-----------|---|-------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| | Schedule Format) | reports - 3 | 3 Reports per | Q2: 3 Reports | Schedule Format) Reports | |
| | Reports submitted to | Reports per | quarter | Q3: 3 Reports | submitted to Council per | |
| | Council | quarter | | Q4: 3 Reports | quarter | |
| PROG | RAMME / FOCUS AREA: CAI | PITAL EXPENDITU | RE | | <u> </u> | |
| 56 | Percentage capital | 13% | 100% | Q1: 20% | R-value capital budget spent | Trial Balance |
| | budget spent | | | Q2: 50% | YTD / R-value total capital | |
| | | | | Q3: 75% | budget *100 | |
| | | | | Q4: 100% | | |
| 57 | Percentage MIG Spending- Capital Expenditure | 47% | 100% | Q1: 20% | R-value MIG spending - | Progress Report |
| | | | | Q2: 50% | capital expenditure YTD / R- | |
| | | | | Q3: 75% | value total MIG funding | |
| | | | | Q4: 100% | allocated *100 | |
| 58 | Percentage ORWRDP | 30% (R500m) | 0% (R500m) 12% (R90m) | Q1: 3% | R-value ORWRDP spent to | Progress Report |
| | Spending (programme | , | Q2: 6% | date / R-value total ORWRDP | | |
| | Implemented in phases) | | | Q3: 9% | allocated *100 | - |
| | R1.35b (Expenditure to date R500m) | | | Q4: 12% | | |
| PROG | RAMME / FOCUS AREA: EXF | PENDITURE MANA | AGEMENT | - 1 | | |
| 59 | Percentage of creditors | New target | 100% | Q1: 100% | Number of creditors paid within | Payment vouchers |
| | paid within 30 days (as | | | Q2: 100% | 30 days (as per MFMA S65) of | |
| | per MFMA S65) of | | | Q3: 100% | receipt of invoice and all necessary supporting | |
| | receipt of invoice and all necessary supporting documentation | | | Q4: 100% | documentation YTD / number of invoices and all necessary supporting documentation received YTD *100 | |





| KPI NO | PERFORMANCE INDICATORS | BASELINE (2012-2013) | ANNUAL TARGET (2013-2014) | QUARTERLY TARGETS | METHOD OF CALCULATION | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION (POE) |
|-----------|---|-------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|
| 60 | Revenue collection rate | 82% | 87% | Q1: 85% | R-value revenue collected | Trial Balance |
| | (as per MBRR SA8) | | | Q2: 85% | YTD / R-value revenue billed | |
| | | | | Q3: 86% | *100 | |
| | | | | Q4: 87% | | |
| 61 | Percentage Cost | 21.8%(1.06) | 12.6% or >1 | Q1: 12.6% or >1 | (R-value available cash + R- | Trial Balance |
| | coverage (as per MBRR | | | Q2: 12.6% or >1 | value investments) / r-value | |
| | SA8) | | | Q3: 12.6% or >1 | monthly fixed operational | |
| | | | | Q4: 12.6% or >1 | expenditure *100 | |
| 62 | Percentage Outstanding service debtors to revenue | 37.1%(audited) | 34.8% | Q1: 34.8% | Total r-value outstanding service debtors / r-value revenue received for debtors YTD *100 | Trial Balance; Debtors Age Analysis |
| | | | | Q2: 34.8% | | |
| | | | | Q3: 34.8% | | |
| | | | | Q4: 34.8% | | |
| 63 | Percentage debt over | 15% 20% | Q1: 5% | R-value debt outstanding for | Age Analysis | |
| | 90 days (reduce by 5 % | | | Q2: 5% | longer than 90 days / total r- value outstanding debt *100 | |
| | each quarter) | | | Q3: 5% | | |
| | | | | Q4: 5% | | |
| 64 | Percentage of budgeted | 78% | 85% | Q1: 85% | R-value budgeted revenue | Trial Balance |
| | revenue (as per | | | Q2: 85% | received YTD / r-value | |
| | quarterly projections) | | | Q3: 85% | revenue projected to have | |
| | for property rates collected | | | Q4: 85% | received YTD *100 | |
| PROG | RAMME / FOCUS AREA: SUI | PPLY CHAIN MANA | GEMENT | | | |





| Percentage tenders and bids awarded within 90 days after closure of advertisement Properties | METHOD OF CALCULATION | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION (POE) |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| days after closure of advertisement RPA4: MUNICIPAL TRANSFORMATION AND ORGANISATIONAL DEVELOPMENT IDP STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE: TO ENSURE THAT ALL STAKEHOLDERS WITHIN THE INSTITUTION ARE ADEQUAT PROGRAMME / FOCUS AREA: STAFF RETENTION 66 Percentage of budgeted new positions on the organogram filled 70 No. people from employment equity groups employed in the three highest levels of management in compliance with the municipality's approved employment equity 8 | Number of tenders and bids | Register |
| Advertisement RPA4: MUNICIPAL TRANSFORMATION AND ORGANISATIONAL DEVELOPMENT IDP STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE: TO ENSURE THAT ALL STAKEHOLDERS WITHIN THE INSTITUTION ARE ADEQUAT PROGRAMME / FOCUS AREA: STAFF RETENTION 66 Percentage of budgeted new positions on the organogram filled 7 No. people from employment equity groups employed in the three highest levels of management in compliance with the municipality's approved employment equity 8 Town All 100% 100% 100% 100% 112 (out of 13) Q1: 12 (out of 13) Q2: 12 (out of 13) Q3: 12 (out of 13) Q4: 12 (out of 13) | awarded within 90 days after | |
| KPA4: MUNICIPAL TRANSFORMATION AND ORGANISATIONAL DEVELOPMENT IDP STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE: TO ENSURE THAT ALL STAKEHOLDERS WITHIN THE INSTITUTION ARE ADEQUAT PROGRAMME / FOCUS AREA: STAFF RETENTION 66 Percentage of budgeted new positions on the organogram filled 70 No. people from employment equity groups employed in the three highest levels of management in compliance with the municipality's approved employment equity 87 August 100% 91: 25% 92: 50% 93: 75% 94: 100% 91: 12 (out of 13) 93: 12 (out of 13) 94: 12 (out of 13) 94: 12 (out of 13) | closure of advertisement YTD | |
| IDP STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE: TO ENSURE THAT ALL STAKEHOLDERS WITHIN THE INSTITUTION ARE ADEQUATED PROGRAMME / FOCUS AREA: STAFF RETENTION 66 Percentage of budgeted new positions on the organogram filled 70 No. people from employment equity groups employed in the three highest levels of management in compliance with the municipality's approved employment equity 87 Percentage of budgeted new positions on the organogram filled 98 Percentage of budgeted new positions on the organogram filled 90 Percentage of budgeted new positions on the organogram filled 90 Percentage of budgeted new positions on the organogram filled 90 Percentage of budgeted new positions on the organogram filled 90 Percentage of budgeted new positions on the organogram filled 90 Percentage of budgeted new positions on the organogram filled 91 Percentage of budgeted new positions on the organogram filled 91 Percentage of budgeted new positions on the organogram filled 92 Percentage of budgeted new positions on the organogram filled 93 Percentage of budgeted new positions on the organogram filled 94 Percentage of budgeted new positions on the organogram filled 95 Percentage of budgeted new positions on the organogram filled 96 Q1: 25% 97 Q2: 50% 97 Q2: 12 (out of 13) 97 Q2: 12 (out of 13) 97 Q4: 12 (out of 13) 97 Q4: 12 (out of 13) | / number of bids that have closed YTD *100 | |
| PROGRAMME / FOCUS AREA: STAFF RETENTION 66 Percentage of budgeted new positions on the organogram filled 67 No. people from employment equity groups employed in the three highest levels of management in compliance with the municipality's approved employment equity 88.7% 100% 92: 25% 92: 50% 94: 100% 12 (out of 13) 92: 12 (out of 13) 93: 12 (out of 13) 94: 12 (out of 13) | | |
| Percentage of budgeted new positions on the organogram filled No. people from employment equity groups employed in the three highest levels of management in compliance with the municipality's approved employment equity Percentage of budgeted new positions on the organogram filled 100% 22:50% 23:75% 24:100% 24:100% 24:100% 26:12 (out of 13) 26:1 | QUATELY CAPACITATED | |
| new positions on the organogram filled Q2: 50% | | |
| organogram filled Q3: 75% Q4: 100% No. people from employment equity groups employed in the three highest levels of management in compliance with the municipality's approved employment equity O3: 75% Q4: 100% Q1: 12 (out of 13) Q2: 12 (out of 13) Q4: 12 (out of 13) | Number of budgeted new | Report |
| No. people from employment equity groups employed in the three highest levels of management in compliance with the municipality's approved employment equity | positions on the organogram | |
| No. people from employment equity groups employed in the three highest levels of management in compliance with the municipality's approved employment equity 12 (out of 13) Q1: 12 (out of 13) Q3: 12 (out of 13) Q4: 12 (out of 13) | filled YTD / number of | |
| employment equity groups employed in the three highest levels of management in compliance with the municipality's approved employment equity Q2: 12 (out of 13) Q3: 12 (out of 13) Q4: 12 (out of 13) | budgeted new positions on the organogram *100 | |
| groups employed in the three highest levels of management in compliance with the municipality's approved employment equity Q3: 12 (out of 13) Q4: 12 (out of 13) | Count number of people | Report |
| three highest levels of management in compliance with the municipality's approved employment equity | from employment equity | |
| management in compliance with the municipality's approved employment equity | groups (black people, | |
| compliance with the municipality's approved employment equity | women, disabled i.e. Only white males are excluded and | |
| PROGRAMME / FOCUS AREA: TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT | foreigners) employed in the three highest levels of management (MM, Managers, Deputy Managers) | |







| | PERFORMANCE NDICATORS | BASELINE (2012-2013) | ANNUAL TARGET (2013-2014) | QUARTERLY TARGETS | METHOD OF CALCULATION | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION (POE) |
|----------|---|--|------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 68 P | Percentage of | 0.50% | 0.02% | Q1: 0.02% | R-value spent on training YTD | Trial Balance |
| | municipality's | | | Q2: 0.02% | / Total R-value personnel | |
| 1 ** | personnel) budget | : | | Q3: 0.02% | budget spent YTD *100 | |
| ir | actually spent on mplementing its workplace skills plan | | | Q4: 0.02% | | |
| | OCIAL AND LOCAL ECON | OMIC DEVELOPME | NT | | | <u> </u> |
| IDP STRA | TEGIC OBJECTIVE: IMPR | OVE THE QUALITY | OF LIVES THROUGH SO | OCIAL DEVELOPMENT AND THE | PROVISION OF EFFECTIVE COMMUN | ITY SERVICES |
| PROGRAM | MME / FOCUS AREA: LIB | RARIES | | | | |
| 69 N | Number of books | 5000 | Total of 23000 for | Q1: 6000 | Count Number of books | Progress Report |
| ci | circulated per quarter | | the year | Q2: 4000 | circulated YTD | |
| | | | | Q3: 7000 | | |
| | | | | Q4: 6000 | | |
| PROGRAM | MME / FOCUS AREA: FA | CILITATE LED & EC | ONOMIC GROWTH THE | ROUGH APPROPRIATE PLANS AF | ND STRATEGIES | |
| 70 N | No. of jobs created | 2030 | 200 | Q1: 50 | Count No. of jobs created | Job creation report |
| ti | hrough LED initiatives | | | Q2: 100 | through LED initiatives YTD | |
| | | | | Q3: 150 | | |
| | | | | Q4: 200 | | |
| 71 P | Percentage | Approved LED | 20% | Q1: 5% | Number of activities listed on | LED implementation |
| | mplementation of the | strategy and | | Q2: 10% | the LED Strategy and plans | report |
| L | ED Strategy and plans | Tourism | | Q3: 15% | implemented YTD / Number | |
| | | Strategy, Investment attraction and retention strategy | Q4: 20% | of activities listed on the LED Strategy and plans *100 | | |
| PROGRAM | MME / FOCUS AREA: JOI | strategy CREATION | | 1 | | |





| KPI NO | PERFORMANCE INDICATORS | BASELINE (2012-2013) | ANNUAL TARGET (2013-2014) | QUARTERLY TARGETS | METHOD OF CALCULATION | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION (POE) | |
|-----------|---|-------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| 72 | No. of jobs created through infrastructure | 0 50 | 50 | Q1: Not applicable for the quarter | Count No. of jobs created through infrastructure | Project progress reports containing the | |
| | projects related to | | | Q2: 15 | projects related to Electrical | amount of employees | |
| | Electrical Services | | | Q3: 50 | Services YTD | hired from the municipal area. | |
| | | | | Q4: 50 | | municipal area. | |
| 73 | Number of jobs created through infrastructure | 0 | 60 | Q1: Not applicable for the quarter | Count No. of jobs created through infrastructure | Report | |
| | projects related to | | | Q2: 20 YTD | projects related to Community Services YTD |) | |
| | Community Services | | | Q3: 40 YTD | | | |
| | | | | Q4: 60 YTD | | | |
| 74 | No. of jobs created | 1127 | 2438 | Q1: 609 | Count No. of jobs created | Report | |
| | through infrastructure | | | Q2: 1218 | through infrastructure | | |
| | projects related to | | | Q3: 1827 | projects related to Technical | | |
| | Technical Services | | | Q4: 2438 | Services YTD | | |
| KPA6: | SPATIAL AND ENVIRONME | NTAL MANAGEM | NT | • | | • | |
| IDP ST | RATEGIC OBJECTIVE: FOSTI | ER, REGULATE, MA | AINTAIN AND PROMOT | E A SUSTAINABLE ENVIRONMENT | , | | |
| PROG | RAMME / FOCUS AREA: FIR | E SERVICES | | | | | |
| 75 | Number of fire | 13 | 28 | Q1: 7 | Count Number of fire | Forms or letters | |
| | prevention awareness | | | Q2: 14 | prevention awareness | | |
| | sessions for different | | | Q3: 21 | sessions for different | | |
| | institutions YTD | | | Q4: 28 | institutions YTD | | |
| IDP ST | RATEGIC OBJECTIVE: TO EN | I ISURE THE OPTIM | UM UTILISATION OF LA | .ND | | 1 | |







| KPI NO | PERFORMANCE INDICATORS | BASELINE (2012-2013) | ANNUAL TARGET (2013-2014) | QUARTERLY TARGETS | METHOD OF CALCULATION | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION (POE) | |
|-----------|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| PROG | RAMME / FOCUS AREA: TO | WN PLANNING | | | | | |
| 76 | Percentage Implementation of Environmental planning | Approved Environmental Management | Environmental to Environmental Enviro | Q1: 100% (Compliance to Environmental Planning Tools) | Number of Environmental planning tools implemented YTD / number of identified | Environmental Planning Tools Implementation Report | |
| | tools | Plan | | Q2: 100% (Compliance to Environmental Planning Tools) | environmental planning tools *100 | | |
| | | | | Q3: 100% (Compliance to Environmental Planning Tools) | | | |
| | | | | Q4: 100% (Compliance to Environmental Planning Tools) | | | |
| 77 | Percentage Progress with the review of the | Spatial development | 100% Adoption of reviewed Spatial | Q1: 10% Progress (SDF review inception report) | Determine % progress with process according to planned | Work Plan on review, Minutes and | |
| | Spatial development framework | framework adopted in | development frame work | Q2: 40% progress (SDF review report) | activities | Attendance Registers for consultations, | |
| | | 2009. | | Q3: 80% progress (SDF review report) | | Council Resolution | |
| | | | | Q4: 100% SDF reviewed and adopted by council | | | |
| 78 | Percentage progress with the development of the CBD plan | Draft CBD Plan | 100% Adoption of the CBD plan | Q1: 10% Progress (Consolidation of inputs from internal departments) | Determine % progress with process according to planned activities | Report, Minutes and Attendance Registers for consultations, | |







| KPI NO | PERFORMANCE INDICATORS | BASELINE (2012-2013) | ANNUAL TARGET (2013-2014) | QUARTERLY TARGETS | METHOD OF CALCULATION | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION (POE) |
|-----------|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|---|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | | | Q2: 50% (Consultation with stakeholders) | | Council Resolution |
| | | | | Q3: 75% (Submission of report to council) | | |
| | | | | Q4: 100% Adoption of the CBD plan | | |





4. RE COMPETENCY REQUIREMENTS

The core competencies the employee should conform to and will be assessed and evaluated against follows:

| CORE MANAGERIAL COMPETENCIES | DEFINITION | DIMENSIONS MEASURED | WEIGHT % |
|--|---|---|-------------|
| Strategic Capability and Leadership | Must be able to provide a vision, set the direction for the organisation and inspire others in order to deliver on the organisational mandate | Annual Performance Reporting; Lead People; Strategy and Task Execution; Strategic Planning; Governance and Management Frameworks | 15% |
| Programme and Project Management | Must be able to plan, manage, monitor and evaluate specific activities in order to deliver the desired outputs | · | |
| Financial Management Must be able to compile and manage budgets, control cash flow, institute risk management and administer tender procurement processes in accordance with generally recognised financial practices in order to ensure the achievement of strategic organisational objectives | | Financial Planning: MFMA, MTEF and MTSF; Financial Budget Execution and Reporting (in Year Monitoring and Reporting) AG Report | |
| Change Management | Must be able to initiate and support organisational transformation and change in order to successfully implement new initiatives and deliver on service delivery commitments | Change Vision, Planning and Strategy; Process Improvement; Organisation Design; Policy Change and Execution; Change Results; Impact Monitoring and Evaluation | n.a. |
| Knowledge Management | Must be able to promote the generation and sharing of knowledge and learning in order to enhance the collective knowledge of the organisation | Knowledge and Learning; Technology Usage; Knowledge Strategies; Information Sharing Sessions; Integration of Knowledge and Partnership Development | 10% |
| Service Delivery Innovation | Must be able to explore and implement new ways of delivering services that contribute to the improvement of organisational processes in order to achieve organisational goals | Innovation; Processes, Policy and Structures; Application of Best Practice | 15% |



7.A



| CORE MANAGERIAL COMPETENCIES | DEFINITION | DIMENSIONS MEASURED | WEIGHT % |
|--|--|--|-------------|
| Problem Solving and Analysis | Must be able to systematically identify, analyse and resolve existing and anticipated problems in order to reach optimum solutions in a timely manner | Identify, Analyse and Resolve Problems in timely manner; Contingency Plans and Anticipate Problems | 10% |
| People Management Must be able to manage and encourage people, Employee Ro | | Employee Relations; Diversity Management; HR Planning: Management and Development | 15% |
| Client Orientation and Customer Focus | Must be willing and able to deliver services effectively and efficiently in order to put the spirit of customer service (Batho Pele) into practice | Application of Batho-Pele Principles; Service Delivery knowledge; Coaching and Mentoring Others; Cost, Time, Budget, Quality, and Targets Consciousness | 15% |
| Communication | Must be able to exchange information and ideas in a clear and concise manner appropriate for the audience in order to explain, persuade, convince and influence others to achieve the desired outcomes | Information and Ideas; Stakeholder Communication; Communication Strategy; Marketing and Branding; Use of Language; Negotiation and Bargaining | n.a. |
| Honesty and Integrity | Must be able to display and build the highest standards of ethical and moral conduct in order to promote confidence and trust in the Public Service. | High standard; Ethical and Moral Conduct; Confidentiality and Trust; Treat everyone with Respect; Responsible and Accountable; Shares information freely; Acts against Corruption | n.a. |

More details related to each competency is attached as Annexure A1 to this plan.






5. SUMMARY SCORECARD

In terms of Regulation 805 of 2006, the employee will be scored on a ratio of 80% for key performance areas (KPAs) and 20% for core competency requirements (CCRs). It is also required that the KPAs relevant to the employees functions also be weighted in terms of importance out of a total of 100%, contributing to the 80% contribution to KPAs. It is also necessary to allocate weightings amongst KPIs and projects where relevant. A summary of the total weightings are indicated below:

| POSITION OUTCOMES/OUTPUTS | KPA WEIGHTINGS | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-------|------|-----|--|
| Key Performance Areas | | | | 80% | |
| Municipal Transformation and Organisational Development | 10% | KPI's | 100% | | |
| Basic Service Delivery and Infrastructure Investment | 30% | KPI's | 100% | - | |
| Social and Local Economic Development | 5% | KPI's | 100% | | |
| Municipal Financial Viability and Management | 20% | KPI's | 100% | | |
| Good Governance and Public Participation | 30% | KPI's | 100% | | |
| Spatial and Environmental Management | 5% | KPI's | 100% | | |
| Total | 100% | | | | |
| Core Competency Requirements (CCRs) | | | | 20% | |





6. RATING SCALES

The assessment of the performance of the Employee will be based on the following rating scale for KPAs and CCRs:

| Level | Terminology | Description |
|-------|--|---|
| 5 | Outstanding performance | Performance far exceeds the standard expected of an employee at this level. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved above fully effective results against all performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance plan and maintained this in all areas of responsibility throughout the year. |
| 4 | Performance significantly above expectations | Performance is significantly higher than the standard expected in the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved above fully effective results against more than half of the performance criteria and indicators and fully achieved all others throughout the year. |
| 3 | Fully effective | Performance fully meets the standards expected in all areas of the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has fully achieved effective results against all significant performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance Plan. |
| 2 | Performance not fully effective | Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job. The review/assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against more than half the key performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance Plan. |
| 1 | Unacceptable performance | Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The review/assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against almost all of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance Plan. The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability to bring performance up to the level expected in the job despite management efforts to encourage improvement. |





7. PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT PROCESS

The following steps will be followed to ensure a fully participative and compliant performance assessment process is adhered to.

- 1. Performance Assessment:
- 1.1. Formal assessment between employee and employer will take place twice a year to measure the performance of the employee against the agreed performance targets for the half yearly and yearly assessments respectively.
- 1.2. Actual performance against the targets will be captured in preparation for the assessments.
- 1.3. Scores of 1-5 will be calculated based upon the progress against targets.
- 1.4. KPI's and targets are audited before assessment date and their findings must accompany the Performance Plans.
- 1.5. The employer must keep a record of the mid-year assessment and annual assessment meetings.
- 2. The employee being assessed will compile a portfolio of evidence confirming the level of performance achieved for a given assessment period and made available to the Panel on request. One independent person may be assigned to act as an Observer.
- 3. The process for determining Employee ratings are as follows:
- 3.1. The panel to rate the achievement for the KPI's on a 5 point scale. Decimal places can be used.
- 3.2. The employee to motivate for higher ratings where applicable.
- 3.3. The panel to rate the employee's core competency requirements (CCR) on the 5 point scale. Decimal places can be used.
- 3.4. The panel scores are averaged to derive at a total score per KPI / Activity /CCR. Overall scores are calculated by taking weightings into account where applicable.
- 3.5. The final KPA's rating will account for 80% of the final assessment total. The CCR's are to account for 20% of the final assessment total.
- 4. The five point rating scale referred to in regulation 805 correspond as follows:

Rating: 1 2 3 4 5 % Score: 0-66 67-99 100-132 133-166 167

- 5. The assessment rating calculator is used to calculate the overall % score for performance.
- 6. Annual performance evaluation to determine the final ratings and scores as well as recommend performance bonuses will be conducted by the appropriate panel as constituted by Regulation 805 of 2006.
- 7. The performance bonus percentages described in the performance agreement will be calculated on a sliding scale of the all inclusive remuneration package as indicated in table below:

| % Rating Over Performance | % Bonus | |
|---------------------------|---------|--|
| 130-149% | 5-9% | |
| 150% and above | 10-14% | |

A TA



- 8. The Personal Development Plan (PDP) can be reviewed after the performance evaluation had been finalised in case where more clarity has been established on what the essential development needs for the relevant person will be.
- 9. The results of the annual performance evaluation will be submitted to the performance audit committee for final approval of the assessment/s /evaluations.
- 10. Performance bonus, based upon the annual evaluation, will be subject to approval by Council.
- 11. The performance evaluation results of the Municipal Manager will also be submitted to the MEC responsible for Local Government in the Province.





8. APPROVAL

The process followed ensures individual alignment to the strategic intent of the institution and gives clear direction on what needs to be achieved through a self-directed approach to execute on the objectives, to build sound relationships, to develop human capital and to strengthen the organisation through excellent performance. This plan has derived from intense workshopping to ensure integration, motivation and self-direction. The employer and employee both have responsibilities and accountabilities in getting value from this plan. Neither party can succeed without the support of the other.

| Undertaking of the employer | Undertaking of the employee | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| On behalf of my organisation, I undertake to ensure that a work environment conducive for excellent employee performance is established and maintained. As such, I undertake to lead to the best of my ability, communicate comprehensively, and empower managers and employees. Employees will have access to ongoing learning, will be coached, and will clearly understand what is expected of them. I herewith approve this Performance Plan. | position within the broader organisation. I furthermore confirm that I understand the purpose of my position, as well as the criteria on which my performance will be evaluated twice annually. As such, I therefore commit to do my utmost to live up to these expectations and to serve the | | |
| Signed and accepted on behalf of Council: | Signed and accepted by the Employee: | | |
| EMay. | 4 | | |
| DATE: | DATE: | | |
| 31 July 2013 | 31 July 2013 | | |

1





ANNEXURE A1 - CORE MANAGERIAL COMPETENCIES DETAILS

The details pertaining to the Core Managerial Competencies follows:

| Core Managerial | | Proficiency Level | |
|--|---|---|--|
| Competencies | Basic 1-2 | Competent 3-4 | Advance - 5 |
| Strategic Capability and Leadership | Understands organisational and departmental strategic initiatives; Describes how specific tasks link to organisation's strategies; Aligns and prioritises own action plans to organisational strategies; and Demonstrates commitment through actions. | Gives direction to team in realising the organisation's strategic objectives; Impacts positively on team morale; Develops action plans to execute strategic initiatives; Assists in defining performance measures to evaluate the success of strategies; Identifies and communicates obstacles to executing specific strategies; Supports stakeholders in achieving their goals; Inspires staff with own behaviour - "walks the talk"; Manages and takes calculated risks; Communicates strategic plan to the organisation; and Utilises strategic planning methods and tools. | Evaluates all activities to determine value added and alignment with the organisation's strategic goals. Displays and contributes in-depth knowledge to strategic planning at the organisational level; Ensures alignment of strategies across various functional areas to the organisation strategy; Defines performance measures to evaluate the success of organisation's strategy; Monitors and reviews strategic plans consistently and takes corrective action; Promotes organisation's mission and vision to all relevant stakeholders; Empowers others to deal with complex and ambiguous situations; Achieves agreement or consensus in an adversarial environment; Guides the organisation through complexity and uncertainty of vision; Leads and unites diverse workgroups across divisions to achieve organisational objectives; and Develops and implements risk management. |





| Core Managerial Competencies | Proficiency Level | | |
|----------------------------------|---|--|--|
| | Basic 1-2 | Competent 3-4 | Advance - 5 |
| Programme and Project Management | • Commences project after go-ahead from higher authority; • Understands procedures of project management, its implications and the importance of stakeholder involvement; • Understands the outcome of the project in relation to organisation's goals; • Displays basic project management skills; • Documents and communicates issues and risks associated with own work; • Uses results of other successfully completed projects as points of reference; and • Applies existing policies in own field of work. | • Establishes broad stakeholder involvement and communicates the project status and key milestones;• Defines roles and responsibilities for project team members and clearly communicates expectations;• Balances quality of work with deadlines and budget;• Identifies and manages risks to the project by assessing potential risks and building contingencies into project plan;• Uses computer software programmes to help manage project;• Sets and manages service level agreements with contractors;• Provides advice and guidance on policies; and• Complies with statutory requirements and apply policies consistently. | Manages multiple projects and balances priorities; Manages risks across multiple projects; Modifies project approach and budget without compromising the quality of outcomes and the desired results; Involves top-level political authority and other relevant stakeholders in the buying process; Keeps abreast and applies international trends in project management; Motivates and coaches project teams to achieve highest project results; and Monitors policy implementation and puts in place procedures to manage risks. |





| Core Managerial Competencies | Proficiency Level | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | Basic 1-2 | Competent 3-4 | Advance - 5 | |
| Financial Management | • Articulates basic financial concepts and techniques as they relate to organisational/departmental processes and tasks (e.g. performance budgeting and value for money);• Displays awareness of the different sources of financial data, reporting mechanisms and financial processes and systems;• Understands importance of financial accountability;• Understands the necessity for asset control;• Recognises key expenditure and financial accounting and reporting concepts;• Performs key financial management processes (expenditure, accounting and reporting) with guidance/direction;• Tracks and measures actual expenditure against budget; and• Understands the role of an audit function. | • Demonstrates knowledge of general concepts of financial planning, budgeting and forecasting and how they interrelate; • Assesses, manages and monitors financial risks; • Prepares financial reports based on prescribed format; • Understands and weighs up financial implications of propositions; • Controls assets according to prescribed policies and procedures; • Understands, analyses and monitors financial reports; • Allocates resources to established goals and objectives; • Manages expenditure in relation to cash flow projections; • Ensures effective utilisation of financial resources; • Develops corrective measures/actions to ensure alignment of budget to financial resources; • Prepares and manages own budget in line with the strategic objectives of the organisation; and | • Takes ownership of key planning, budgeting and forecasting processes and answers questions related to topics within own responsibility; • Manages financial planning, forecasting and reporting processes; • Prepares budgets that are aligned to the strategic objectives of the organisation\department; • Addresses complex budgeting and financial management issues; • Formulates long term financial plans and resource allocations; • Develops and implements systems, procedures and processes in order to improve financial management; • Advises on policies and procedures regarding asset control; • Dynamically allocates resources according to internal and external objectives (broader government objectives); • Develops expenditure Key Performance Indicators (KPIs); • Succeeds in achieving maximum results with limited resources; • Assists others with financial accounting\reporting tasks; • Coaches and teaches others on key financial concepts; and • Analyses projections in reports. | |







| Core Managerial Competencies | Proficiency Level | | | |
|------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| | Basic 1-2 | Competent 3-4 | Advance - 5 | |
| Change Management | • Communicates status, benefits and issues relating to change;• Identifies gaps between the current and the desired situation and reasons for resistance to change;• Accepts and successfully performs a supporting role in the change effort;• Identifies the need for change;• Participates in change programmes and piloting of change initiatives; and• Understands the impact of change initiatives on the organisation within the broader political and social context. | • Performs analysis to determine the impact of changes in the social, political and economic environment; • Initiates, supports and encourages new ideas; • Volunteers to lead change efforts outside of own work team; • Consults and persuades all the relevant stakeholders of the need for change; • Proactively seeks new opportunities for change; • Identifies and assists in resolving resistance to change with stakeholders; and • Designs activities to enable change that are aligned to the organisational objectives. | • Monitors results of change; • Secures buy-ir and sponsorship for change efforts; • Designs develops, evaluates and continuously improves the overall change strategy; • Customises and applies approaches to facilitate change; • Capitalises on relationships with various stakeholders in establishing strategic alliances in facilitating change efforts; • Leads major change programmes; • Benchmarks change initiatives and strategies against best practice; • Exhibits sensitivity to dynamics within the organisation which affect how quickly change is accepted; • Takes calculated risks and is constantly seeking new ideas from leading edge organisations and external sources; • Defines outcomes and measurement criteria for change programmes; and • Creates innovative ways of reinforcing change, rewarding change acceptance and discouraging change resistance. | |





| Core Managerial | Proficiency Level | | |
|-----------------|---|--|---|
| Competencies | Basic 1-2 | Competent 3-4 | Advance - 5 |
| Knowledge | Collects, categorises and tracks relevant | Uses appropriate information systems | Anticipates future knowledge management |
| Management | information required for specific tasks | to manage organisational knowledge;• | requirements and systems; • Develops |
| | and projects; • Analyses and interprets | Uses modern technology to stay abreast | standards and processes to meet future |
| | information to draw conclusions; • Seeks | of world trends and information;• | knowledge management requirements;• |
| | new sources of information to increase | Evaluates information from multiple | Shares and promotes best practices across |
| | own knowledge base; and • Shares | sources and uses information to influence | the organisation; • Coaches others on |
| | information and knowledge with co- | decisions; • Creates mechanisms and | knowledge management techniques;• |
| | workers. | structures for sharing of knowledge in the | Monitors and measures knowledge |
| | | organisation; • Uses libraries, researchers, | management capability in organisation;• |
| | | knowledge specialists and other | Creates a culture of a learning organisation; |
| | | knowledge bases appropriately to | and • Holds motivational sessions with |
| | | improve organisational efficiency;• | colleagues to share information and new |
| | | Promotes the importance of knowledge | ideas. |
| | | sharing within own area;• Adapts and | |
| | | integrates information from multiple | ** |
| | | sources to create innovative knowledge | |
| | | management solutions; and Nurtures a | |
| | | knowledge-enabling environment. | |
| | | | |
| | | | |





| Core Managerial | Proficiency Level | | | |
|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Competencies | Basic 1-2 | Competent 3-4 | Advance - 5 | |
| Service Delivery | Recommends new ways of performing | Consults clients and stakeholders on | Formulates and implements new ideas | |
| Innovation | tasks within own function; | ways to improve the delivery of services; | throughout the organisation; | |
| | Identifies and seeks potential sources of | Communicates the benefits of service | Ensures buy-in from key stakeholders; | |
| | new ideas and approaches to enhance | delivery improvement opportunities to | Consults and utilises international best | |
| | service delivery; | stakeholders; | practices on Service Delivery Innovation; | |
| | Proposes simple remedial solutions to | Identifies internal process improvement | Aligns the Service Delivery Innovation | |
| | simple service delivery orientated | opportunities; | initiatives with the latest technology; | |
| | problems; and | Identifies and analyses opportunities | Researches needs of clients; | |
| | Listens to the ideas and perspectives of | where innovative ideas can lead to | Coaches others on innovation techniques; | |
| | others and explores opportunities to | improved service delivery; | and | |
| • | enhance these ideas. | Creates mechanisms to encourage | Inspires service providers to improve | |
| | | innovation and creativity within | delivery of services. | |
| | | functional area and across the | | |
| | 1 | organisation; and | | |
| | | Implements innovative service delivery | | |
| posta o o la la la | | options in own department/organisation. | | |
| Problem Solving and | Understands the basic steps in problem | Explains potential impact of problems | Coaches others on the analytical techniques | |
| Analysis | solving and analysis and solves basic | to own working environment;• | and problem solving methods; • Anticipates | |
| | problems using organisation guidelines;• | Demonstrates logical problem solving | organisational problems and strategises to | |
| | Identifies when to solve problems | approach and provides rationale for | counteract potential impact; • Involves the | |
| | independently and when to consult | proposed solutions; Determines root | appropriate people, to resolve complex, | |
| | others for resolution beyond own | causes of problems; • Demonstrates | inter-departmental problems;• Generates | |
| | authority; Participates actively and constructively in problem solving | objectivity, thoroughness, insightfulness, | various solutions/ options and contingency | |
| | discussions; and Identifies and | and probing behaviours when | plans for problems;• Identifies the impact of | |
| | documents issues associated with | approaching problems; and• Demonstrates the ability to break down | solutions on multiple areas within the | |
| | problems. | complex problems into manageable parts | organisation; and Develops contingency | |
| | producting. | and identify solutions. | measures and explores various problem solving options. | |
| | | and identity solutions. | SOIVING OPTIONS: | |
| | | | <u> </u> | |





| Core Managerial Competencies | Proficiency Level | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | Basic 1-2 | Competent 3-4 | Advance - 5 | |
| People Management and Empowerment | • Participates in team goal setting and problem solving; • Interacts and collaborates with diverse groups of people; • Understands team strengths, weaknesses and preferences; and • Is aware of the appropriate steps and guidelines for employee development and feedback, but not yet fully able to implement these. | • Seeks opportunities to increase personal contribution and level of responsibility;• Supports and respects the individuality of others and recognises the benefits of diversity of ideas and approaches;• Delegates and empowers others to increase contribution and level of responsibility;• Applies labour and employment legislation and regulations consistently;• Facilitates team goal setting and problem solving;• Recognises differences between individuals, cultures and teams and provides developmental feedback in accordance with performance management principles;• Adheres to internal and national standards with regards to human resource practices;• Identifies competencies required and suitable resources for specific tasks;• Displays personal interest in the well-being of colleagues;• Able to manage own time as well as time of colleagues and other stakeholders; and• Manages conflict through a participatory approach. | • Analyses ineffective team and work processes and recommends improvement; • Recognises and rewards desired behaviours and results; • Mentors and counsels others; • Addresses balance between individual career expectations and organisational needs; • Considers developmental needs of personne when building teams and assigning tasks; • Establishes an environment in which personnel can maximise their potential; • Guides others on managing people; • Inspires a culture of performance excellence by giving positive and constructive feedback to the team; • Creates links among various individuals, cultures and teams and instill a common sense of identity towards the achievement of goals; • Shares knowledge of the big picture to help others understand their role; and • Creates a culture of continuous learning and development. | |





| Core Managerial | Proficiency Level | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| Competencies | Basic 1-2 | Competent 3-4 | Advance - 5 |
| Client Orientation and Customer Focus | Acknowledges customers rights; Applies customer knowledge to improve own organisation or department; Maintains good relationship with customers and understands their priorities; and Redirects queries to the most appropriate person/solution provider and follows through to ensure customer needs are met. | • Develops clear and implementable service delivery improvement programmes; • Identifies opportunities to exceed the expectations of customers; • Designs internal work processes to improve customer service; • Adds value to the organisation by providing exemplary customer service; and • Applies customer rights in own work environment. | • Coaches others about the importance and application of customer and client knowledge; • Fosters an environment in which customer satisfaction is valued and delivered; • Addresses and resolves high-risk, high profile stakeholder issues; and • Takes advantage of opportunities to learn about stakeholders and brings this information to own functional area. |
| Communication | Shows understanding for communication tools appropriate for the audience but needs assistance in utilising them; Expresses ideas in a clear and coherent manner but not always taking into account the needs of the audience; and Assimilates information reasonably well. | Expresses ideas to individuals and groups both in formal and informal settings; Receptive to alternative viewpoints; Adapts communication content and style according to the audience; Delivers messages in a manner that gains support, commitment and agreement; Compiles documents on complex matters that are clear, concise and well structured; Communicates controversial sensitive messages to stakeholders tactfully; Listens well and is receptive; and Encourages participation and mutual understanding. | Communicates high risk sensitive matters to all relevant stakeholders; Develops well defined communication strategy; Balances political views with organisational needs; Steers negotiations around complex issues and arrive at a win/win situation; Markets and promotes the organisation to external stakeholders; and Communicates with the media without compromising the integrity of the organisation. |





| Core Managerial Competencies | Proficiency Level | | |
|---------------------------------|--|---|--|
| | Basic 1-2 | Competent 3-4 | Advance - 5 |
| Honesty and Integrity | • Follows through on commitments under supervision; and • Follows the rules and regulations of the organisation. | • Conducts self in accordance with organisational values; • Reports fraud, corruption, nepotism and maladministration; • Honours the confidentiality of matters; • Deals promptly, where conflict of interest arises; • Establishes trust and shows confidence in others; • Treats all employees with equal respect; • Takes responsibility for own actions; • Undertakes roles and responsibilities • Shares information openly, whilst respecting the principle of confidentiality. | Develops and applies self-corrective measures; Makes proposals; Promotes transparent and accountable administration; Acts decisively against corrupt and dishonest conduct; Promotes the values and beliefs of the organisation internally and externally; Co-operates with others and works as a team; and Oversees the acceptance of responsibility and accountability in the organisation |

