MOGALAKWENA LOCAL MUNICIPALITY

FINAL 2023/2024 PROCESS PLAN IDP, BUDGET AND PMS COMPILATION




TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u> 1. INTI</u>	RODUCTION AND BACKGROUND	1
1.1	Purpose of this document 1	4. MECHANISMS AND PROCEDURE FOR
1.2	Background 2	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION IN THE IDP PROCESS
1.3	Alignment between IDP, Budget and PMS	4.1. Overview 11
	3	4.2. Mechanisms for participation 11
1.4	Guiding principles 4	4.3. Suggested activities and mechanisms for
1.5	Structure of the process plan 5	participation per IDP planning phase
-		13
2. ALL	OCATION OF ROLES AND	
	ONSIBILITIES	5. MECHANISMS AND PROCEDURE FOR
2.1.	Overview 7	ALIGNMENT
2.2.	Distribution of roles and responsibilities	5.1. Alignment with stakeholders 14
	7	5.2. Stakeholders in the IDP process 14
2.1.2.	Roles and responsibilities of internal role	
	players 7	6. LEGISLATION AND PLANNING
2.1.2.	Roles and responsibilities of external role	REQUIREMENTS
	players 8	6.1. Legal framework 14
3. INS	TITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS FOR IDP	7. COST ESTIMATES/BUDGET
REVIE		7.1. Budget for review 17
3.1.	IDP review structure 8	0.0
3.2.	IDP institutional and management	8. KEY IDP, BUDGET AND PMS TIME
	arrangements 10	SCHEDULE OF EVENTS
	amenigement.	8.1. IDP, Budget & PMS time schedule 18
		12. , 200901 3.1 03 10

FIGURES
Figure 1: The linkages between IDP, Budget and PMS
Figure 2: IDP review structure

1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

1.1 Purpose of this document

The purpose of the process plan is to indicate the various planned activities and strategies on which the municipality will embark to compile its reviewed integrated development plan for the 2023/2024 period. The process plan enhances integration and alignment between the IDP, Budget and Performance Management System. It fulfils the role of business plan or an operational framework for the IDP process outlining the manner in which the IDP process will be undertaken. In addition, it identifies the activities in the process around the key statutory annual operational processes of the budget and IDP compilation, performance management and the adoption of the municipality's annual report.

1.2 Background

The IDP is a strategic document that guides decision – making and public sector investment. It assumes a five year horizon, but makes provision for a much longer – term vision and objectives. The IDP is a legal document which is reviewed annually to cater for the changes in priorities, development trends and pattern, and approach to service delivery. The IDP informs the budget.

The 2023/2024 IDP is also targeted to address the gaps that existed in 2022/223 IDP review including the quality of the information and the quality of the analysis which serves to inform decision – making, as well as addressing the comments from the MEC (which reported a number of shortfalls on the 2022/23 IDP document) and enhancing the IDPs credibility in terms of assessing and responding to the issues of Sustainable Human Settlements (SHS) and Robust Local Economy.

In terms of the 2023/2024 IDP, the municipality intends to achieve an IDP that is even more credible than our currently adopted 2022/23 IDP.

1.3 Alignment between IDP, Budget and PMS

In terms of the Municipal Systems Act, municipalities are required to prepare organizational performance management system that must be linked to the IDP. Tremendous progress has been made with the process of aligning the IDP, Budget and Performance Management System (PMS). Every endeavor was made in the 2022/23 financial year to link and integrate these three processes to an even greater extent through the Process Plan. It should however, be noted that the PMS on its own requires an in-depth process comparable to that of the IDP. Such PMS is tightly linked and guided by the IDP and Budget processes.

The PMS process will address the following issues:

- Alignment of the PMS, Budget and IDP processes;
- Implementation of individual performance management system at managerial level.

The IDP, performance management systems (PMS) and budget are all components of one overall development planning and management system. The IDP sets out what the municipality aims to accomplish, how it will do this. The PMS enables the municipality to check to what extent it is achieving its aims. The budget provides the resources that the municipality will use to achieve its aims. As indicated earlier, every attempt has been made in this process plan to align the IDP and PMS formulation and/or review, and the budget preparation process. The linkages of the three processes are summarized in the following diagram:




Table 1: Cyclical linkages of the IDP-PMS-Budget

MONTH	IDP	PMS	BUDGET
July	Print and distribute final approved IDP. Development of 2023/2024 IDP Process Plan that guide the planning, drafting, adoption of the plan. Give notice to local community of particulars of the Process Plan.	Conclude annual performance agreements Print and distribute final approved SDBIP	Place approved annual budget and policies on the municipal Web site Print and distribute final approved budget Establish appropriate committees and consultation forums
August	Table to EXCO, council the IDP Process Plan for approval. Conduct stakeholder registration. Consider comments from various stakeholders during 2022/23 IDP Roadshows	Place annual performance agreements on the municipal website Development and submission of annual performance report	Table in council IDP, PMS and budget process plan
September	Consider proposals received from MEC, if any.(IDP preparation process) Coordinate public consultation in terms of Tariffs, Indigent Credit, Credit Control and FBE. Public consultation in terms of CBP.		Implement process plan Commence community and stakeholder consultative process, review inputs, financial models, assess impacts on tariffs and change and consider funding decisions
October	Setting new strategic agenda for the IDP in light of the new focus of Council.	Develop and submit the 1st Quarter Performance Report to Council and CoGHSTA	Consolidate community inputs on proposed tariffs.
November & December	Horizontal and vertical alignment with District, Province and other stakeholders begins.		Finalize inputs from bulk resource providers and agree on proposed price increase
January	Horizontal and vertical alignment with District, Province and other stakeholders continues.	Development and consideration by Municipal Manager of the mid-year performance Report. Finalize, and publicize Mid-year report.	Note the president's "State of the Nation Address " for further budget priorities
	Departments identify projects/programmes.	Table the draft Annual Report to Council.	

MONTH	IDP	PMS	BUDGET
February	Horizontal and vertical alignment with District, Province and other stakeholders continues. Departments identify projects/programmes.	Make the draft Annual Report public for comments.	Note National budget for provincial and national allocations to municipalities for incorporation into budget
March	Finalize Capital Investment Plan and Financial Plan. Tabling of draft IDP and budget before council. Publication of tabled draft IDP, budget and invite local communities and stakeholders for comments and inputs.	Submit the draft Annual Report to MPAC. Submit the oversight report on the Annual Report to Council for approval.	Table in council the draft IDP, annual budget and all supporting documentation Publicize the tabled draft budget
April	Prepare and conduct IDP/Budget roadshows.	Prepare SDBIPs linked to IDP strategies, objectives, KPI's and targets. Develop and submit the 3 rd Quarter Performance Report to Council and COGHSTA	Consultation on tabled draft budget, publicize and conduct public hearing
May	EXCO recommends adoption of the IDP to Council. Council sitting to approve IDP, and budget.		Consideration of community views and other stakeholders and revise budget if necessary Approval of annual budget, including taxes, tariffs and policies.
June	Submission of approved IDP to the COGHSTA and Provincial Treasury	Prepare final SDBIPs linked to IDP strategies, objectives, KPI's and targets. Submission of draft SDBIPs and Annual PAs to Mayor. Mayor approves SDBIPs	Submission of approved Budget to the COGHSTA, Provincial Treasury and National Treasury

1.4 Structure of the process plan

This plan consists of eight sections. These sections provide basic guidance, purposes, contents and processes of the IDP. Each section has its importance and a role it plays during the implementation of the IDP and these sections can be briefly described as follows:-

- Allocation of roles and responsibilities the IDP review needs to be undertaken as a
 collaborative effort by different role players and the distribution of the roles and responsibilities
 is thus critical especially within the municipality to ensure that each role player is adequately
 aware of the required input and capacity that he/ she should provide within the lifespan of the
 IDP review process.
- Institutional arrangements for implementation this is the composition of the key representatives who are critical for the management, execution and implementation of the IDP process.
- Mechanisms for public participation as previously indicated public participation is a compulsory principle and a legal requirement with regards to the annual review of the IDP process.
- Mechanisms and procedures for alignment this provides a brief overview regarding the
 required procedures for vertical and horizontal alignment that the IDP needs to achieve. The
 vertical alignment will include aligning the IDP with National and Provincial policies and District
 strategies, while the horizontal alignment will include aligning the IDP with the Sector Plans and
 adjacent municipalities.
- Binding legislations and planning requirements the proposed IDP review needs to show
 consciousness by Mogalakwena Municipality of its constitutional and policy mandate for
 developmental local government including its powers and functions. This section will list the key
 legislations and policies that must be considered for the review of the IDP.
- Monitoring of the process plan this process highlights the series of interrelated stages which will culminate the production of the IDP process.
- Action plan with timeframes the IDP review is a process that is action driven and time bound.
 This section will therefore set the overall targets and a framework by which the IDP Tasks
 Team will abide to in order to ensure that the overall process is undertaken and completed within the required timeframes.
- Costs estimates for the review process This presents the financial implications that will be
 incurred through allocating the required resources that are needed for undertaking the scope of
 the review exercise.

2. ALLOCATION OF ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

2.1 Overview

The IDP process is a consultative and participatory process in its nature and this therefore necessitates specific roles and responsibilities for various structures within and outside the municipal jurisdiction. The stakeholders are expected to comply with all the roles and responsibilities that are listed below since they will be applied throughout the process.

2.2 Distribution of roles and responsibilities

The IDP process is a consultative and participatory process in its nature and this therefore necessitates specific roles and responsibilities for various structures within and outside the municipal jurisdiction. The stakeholders are expected to comply with all the roles and responsibilities that are listed below since they will be applied throughout the process.

2.2.1 Roles and responsibilities of internal role players

Table 2: Roles and responsibilities of internal role players

ROLE PLAYER		
Council	Adoption of an IDP	• Sec 25(1)
	Adoption of a Process Plan	• Sec 28(1)
	Annually Review IDP	 Sec 34(a)
	Amend IDP under changing circumstances	• Sec 34(b)
EXCO	General management of the drafting of the IDP.	 Sec 30(a)
	 Assign responsibilities in this regard to the Municipal Manager and Portfolio Committees. 	• Sec 30(b)
	 Recommend the IDP draft plan to the Council for adoption. 	• Sec 30 (c)
Councillors	Linking the IDP process with their constituencies.	• Sec 29(b)
	 Organize public participation meetings with the relevant stakeholder in their constituencies. 	• Sec 29(b)
	Confirm and prioritize ward based needs	 Sec 29(b)
Portfolio Committees	Scrutinize and prioritize basic needs of the municipality	Struc Act
	Play an oversight role on the review of municipal and	
	sector departmental plans	Struc Act
	To make recommendation to EXCO.	
		Struct Act
Municipal Manager	Decide on planning process;	• Sec 30(b)
	Develop and compile a draft IDP;	• Sec 30(b)
	Monitor the process of IDP Review;	• Sec 30(b)
	Overall Management and co-ordination;	 Sec 30(b)
	 Provide the necessary resources for the compilation and implementation of the IDP 	• Sec 30(b)
	 Ensure that the Budget and SDBIP is informed by the approved IDP 	• Sec 30(b)
	Submit a draft IDP to the EXCO	• Sec 30(b)
Section 56 Managers	Provide technical/ sector expertise.	• Sec 35 (1)

ROLE PLAYER	ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	SYSTEMS ACT SECTION
	Prepare and review selected draft Sector Plans.	• Sec 29 (c)
	 Prepare draft progress reports and proposals. 	• Sec 35(1)
IDP Divisional Head	Day-to-day management of the process.	• Sec 30(b)
IDP Steering Committee • Assist and support the Municipal Manager and Representative Forum.		• Sec 35 (b)
	 Information "GAP" identification. 	• Sec 29(b)
	 Oversee the alignment of the planning process internally with those of the local municipality areas. 	• Sec 29(b)

2.2.2 Roles and responsibilities of external role players Table 3: Roles and responsibilities of internal role players


ROLE PLAYER	ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES
Planning Professionals	 Methodological guidance. Facilitation of planning workshops. Assist in compiling Sector Plans.
Representative Forum/ Civil Society/ Ward Committees	Representing sector interests and contributing local knowledge and ideas in respect of Needs Identification and Prioritization (See terms of reference).
Government Departments	 Provide sector information. Sectoral guidelines and compliance requirements. Provide professional and technical support.

3. INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS FOR THE IDP IMPLEMENTATION

3.1 IDP review structure

The IDP process needs to be managed effectively and it is very important that institutional arrangements are properly made. The following structure and persons are recommended:-

Figure 2: IDP review structure



3.2 IDP institutional and management arrangements

It is critical that certain organizational and institutional arrangements be made by the Municipality during the IDP process.

This would have as purpose:

- Institutionalization of participation;
- Effective management of drafting of outputs; and
- Afford affected parties the opportunity to contribute to the process.

Institutionalization of participation, especially in the case of Mogalakwena, where there are **328 905** residents, spread over a land area of 6156.07 square kilometers would promote equal rights to participation.

The IDP and Budget formulation process will be guided and undertaken within the following organizational management mechanisms:

- Municipal Council is the final decision-making/approval of IDP and will continuously monitor progress of the development and implementation of its IDP;
- Councillors will be responsible for linking integrated development planning process to their constituencies/wards;
- Nominated EXCO members as IDP Steering Committee of politicians to drive the process, and make key strategic decisions needed at various points on the way;
- IDP Task Team across directorates to drive the IDP:
- Programme task teams including external stakeholders to revise the programmes;

The IDP Stakeholder Forum is also required in terms of legislation to bring in external stakeholders at key decision points in the process, who have a statutory right to be involved in the decision-making process around the IDP. Wards will be making their proposals and inputs through the community-based planning process, but will also form part of the Stakeholder Forum. Programme Task Teams will be established by respective directorates involving external stakeholders in the review of development programmes.

4. MECHANISIMS AND PROCEDURE FOR PUBLIC PARTICIPATION IN THE IDP PROCESS

4.1. Overview

In line with section 16 of the Municipal Systems Act 2000, the IDP review process would involve an intensive and structured public and stakeholder's participation process. Public participation has become one of the key features of developmental government. The aspect of public participation has been entrenched in the constitution and chapter 4 of the Municipal Systems Act is a legislative requirement. Participation by interested parties ensures that IDP addresses real issues that are experienced by communities within the local municipality. The establishment of the Representative Forum ensures that public participation is indeed put into practice by the local municipality.

4.2. Mechanisms for participation

Section 17 of the Municipal System Act, 2000 deals with the mechanism, process and procedures for community participation. In terms of this section, community participation in the IDP Process has been structured as follows:

(a) Ward Committees

Meetings will be held with ward committees to inform local community about the IDP Review process, provide report back on the progression of the implementation of the review process as well as on projects that are currently being implemented in the municipal area, review the existing IDP project list and identify new projects.

(b) IDP & Budget roadshows and Community Based planning

Mogalakwena Municipality, in conjunction with Waterberg District Municipality, intends to undertake the community based planning during September – October 2022 for needs identification per ward and April 2023 for mayoral roadshows for final community inputs in the IDP/Budget documents. This summit will comprise of the administrative and political offices within both Mogalakwena Municipality and Waterberg District Municipality. The invitation will be extended to the councilors, ward committees and CDWs. The District will present the programs and projects as captured on the draft district wide IDP.

(c) Strategic planning

Strategic planning will be undertaken in the form of the workshop with Senior, Middle Management, Municipal Mayor, labor unions, and EXCO Members. The session will be undertaken in November - December 2022 and it will entail the review of the strategic planning framework. This Strategic Framework should indicate the strategic direction of the municipality, and form the basis for updating the Vision, Mission, Values, Objectives and Strategies. Furthermore, the most important aspect here is the formulation of key performance indicators.

(d) IDP Representatives Forum

The forum should be restructured so as to include ward committees, sector departments and other community – based organizations. There are four proposed IDP Forum meetings to be held during the review process. The table below indicates the details with regards to the meetings that will be undertaken.

Table 4: IDP representatives' forum meeting purpose and output

Proposed Forum Meetings MEETING	PURPOSE	OUTPUT
First IDP Forum Meeting	The first meeting will be undertaken at the analysis phase of the IDP process. The aim of this meeting is to highlight the past performance of the previous financial years in terms of the success, challenges and achievements in meeting the intended goals, strategic objectives and addressing the backlogs. The second aim will primarily focus on the presentation of the reviewed analysis in order to examine the relevance of previous priority issues and to assess new issues.	IDP Analysis Report
Second IDP Forum Meeting	The primary aim of this meeting is to align the development strategy with the new priorities including those of the district and the sector departments. Its objectives are as follows:- To review the development strategic framework, To receive the presentations from the sector departments on their priorities.	Strategic Planning Framework
Third IDP Forum Meeting	The primary aim of this meeting is to integrate information obtained from the ward committees meetings. Its objectives are as follows:-Discussion regarding the existing IDP Priority issues and projects, Submission of additional projects within the municipality, Developing a municipal list of priority projects.	List of Priority Projects
Fourth IDP Forum Meeting	The primary aim of this meeting is to integrate information obtained from all stakeholders. Its objectives are as follows:- Incorporate in-puts by all stakeholders Give feed-back to the community	Approved IDP with community inputs.

4.3. Suggested activities and mechanisms for participation per IDP planning phase Table 5: Mechanisms for participation activities per IDP phase

PLANNING PHASE	ACTIVITIES	MECHANISM
PREPARATION PHASE	Inputs into the process plans and framework for IDP review.	Meetings/ Workshops
ANALYSIS PHASE To participate in gaps identification. To ensure that identified gaps are in line with developmental issues.		Meetings/ Workshops
STRATEGY PHASE	Ensure that developmental objectives are realistic. Ensure that reviewed strategies are in line with localized guidelines. Ensure that reviewed strategies are in line with development priorities. Participate in discussions to formulate and adopt alternative strategies.	Meetings/ Workshops
PROJECT PHASE	Discussions on the reviewed project proposals.	Meetings/ Workshops
INTEGRATION PHASE	Integrating all reviewed activities and programmes.	Meetings/ Workshops
APPROVAL	Comments.	Meetings/ Workshops

5. MECHANISMS AND PROCEDURES FOR ALIGNMENT

Alignment within the review process serves as an instrument to synthesize and integrates the top down and bottom up planning processes between different spheres of government. The IDP planning processes is a local process, which requires inputs and support from all spheres of government so that the IDP is in line with provincial and national policies and strategies. This will make sure that such plans are then considered for financial allocations or departmental budgets and conditional grants.

Cross-border alignment with neighboring municipalities is also necessary to ensure the spatial coordination of development effort. This can be achieved by using the existing Provincial Coordinating Committee as well as strategic discussions between neighboring local municipalities.

5.1. Alignment with stakeholders

Alignment with stakeholders is essential in order that the Waterberg District Municipality and Mogalakwena's priorities can be reflected in their project prioritization process, as well as reflecting those projects in the IDP. It is anticipated that the IDP/Budget Roadshows and IDP Representatives Forum which will be led by EXCO and Section 56 Managers will create such a platform as well as a series of individual meetings with key organs of the state.

5.2. Stakeholders in the IDP Process

- Mogalakwena Local Municipality
 The IDP guides the development plans of the local municipality.
- Councillors

The IDP gives councillors an opportunity to make decisions based on the needs and aspirations of their constituencies.

- Communities and other stakeholders
 - The IDP is based on community needs and priorities. Communities have the chance to participate in identifying their most important needs. The IDP process encourages all stakeholders who reside and conduct business within a municipal area to participate in the preparation and implementation of the development plan.
- National and provincial sector departments
 - Many government services that affect communities at local level are delivered by provincial and national government departments for example: police stations, clinics and schools. Municipalities must take into account the programmes and policies of these departments. The departments should participate in the IDP process so that they can be guided on how to use their resources to address local needs.

6. LEGISLATION AND PLANNING REQUIREMENTS

6.1. Legal framework

The preparation of the IDP and Budget processes are regulated by the Municipal Systems Act, No 32 of 2000 and the Municipal Finance Management Act, No 56 of 2003. This is to ensure certain minimum quality standards of the integrated development planning and budget process and proper coordination between and within the spheres of government.

As the IDP is a legislative requirement it has a legal status and it supersedes all other plans that guide development at local level. The Municipal Systems Act, No 32 of 2000 (as amended) and the Municipal Finance Management Act, No 56 of 2003 confer the responsibility on the Mayor to provide political guidance over the budget process and the priorities that must guide the preparation of the annual budgets. In terms of section 53 of the Municipal Finance Management Act the Mayor must also coordinate the annual revision of the integrated development plan in terms of section 34 of the Municipal Systems Act and the preparation of the annual budget, and determine how the integrated development plan is to be taken into account or revised for the purpose of the budget. The Municipal Systems Act further requires the following regarding the IDP process:

Chapter 5 and Section 25 (1) of the Municipal Systems Act (2000) indicate that:

Each municipal council must, within a prescribed period after the start of its elected term, adopt a single, all-inclusive and strategic plan for the development of the municipality which-

- a) Links integrates and coordinates plans and takes into account proposals for the development of the municipality;
- b) Aligns the resources and capacity of the municipality with the implementation of the plan;
- c) Complies with the provisions of this Chapter; and
- d) Is compatible with national and provincial development plans and planning requirements binding on the municipality in terms of legislation.

In terms of the core components of the integrated development plan, Chapter 5 and Section 26 of the Municipal Systems Act (2000) indicate that: An integrated development plan must reflect:

- a) The municipal council's vision for the long term development of the municipality with special emphasis on the municipality's most critical development and internal transformation needs;
- b) An assessment of the existing level of development in the municipality, which must include an identification of communities which do not have access to basic municipal services:
- c) The council's development priorities and objectives for its elected term, including its local economic development aims and its internal transformation needs;
- The council's development strategies which must be aligned with any national and provincial sector plans and planning requirements binding on the municipality in terms of legislation;
- e) A spatial development framework which must include the provision of basic guidelines for land use management system for the municipality;
- f) The council's operational strategies;
- g) Applicable disaster management plans;
- h) A financial plan, which must include a budget projection for at least the next three years; and

i) The key performance indicators and performance targets determined in terms of section 41.

Section 27 stipulates that:

- 1) Each district municipality, within a prescribed period after the start of its elected terms and after following a consultative process with the local municipalities within its area, must adopt a framework for integrated development planning in the area as a whole;
- 2) A framework referred to in subsection (1) binds both the district municipality and the local municipalities in the area of the district municipalities;
- 3) The framework must ensure proper consultation, co-ordination and alignment of the IDP Process of the district municipality and the various local municipalities.

The IDP requires that municipal planning processes be in line with the national and provincial legislation, policies, programmes and strategies which in turn will be able to inform annual budget allocations. National Acts and Policies further require local government to produce certain integrated sector plans which complements the IDP, thereby avoiding unnecessary duplications. This will necessitate a well co – ordinated and integrated information sharing and dissemination between specific sector departments and municipalities.

The following is a list of binding legislation and requirements considered during the IDP planning processes and should also apply during review processes.

Table 6: Binding legislation and requirements

SECTOR DEPARTMENTS	LEGAL REQUIREMENT	LEGALLY BINDING LEGISLATION/POLICY/DIRECTIVE	VALUE ADDING CONTRIBUTION
CoGHSTA	IDP	Municipal Systems Act,	Co – ordination of
Cogta	PMS	Municipal Structures Act,	Development
National and Provincial	Financial Management	MFMA	
Treasury	/Financial Plan (Budgeting)	IGR Framework Act	
Cogta/Presidency	NDP/LDP/IDP/MTSF	Cabinet Lekgotla Decision	Alignment and co-ordination of
Department of Environment	Alignment	NEMA	development
and Tourism	Integrated Waste	White Paper on pollution and waste	Attainment of Local Agenda
Department of Economic	Management	management	21
Development	Integrated Environmental	White Paper on Conservation and	Promotion of economic growth
	Management Plan	Sustainable use of South Africa's	and job creation
	Disaster Management Plan	biodiversity	Attainment of millennium
	Local Economic Development	Business Registration Act	development goals
		Local Economic Development Policy	
		White Paper on Local Government	
		Disaster Management Act/ Municipal	
DIMAE	MODD	Systems Act	NA
DWAE	WSDP	Water Services Act	Management of scarce water
			resources.
DOLLIO OLIOTA	The Production of the control	Magazatti da Bara	Regulation of water services.
DOH/CoGHSTA	Housing strategy and targets/	National Housing Policy	Housing Urbanization
	Housing Chapter of the IDP	Housing Act	Information System (HUIS)
			Provision of secured and
DOT	Interreted Transport Disc	National Transport Ast	sustainable human settlement
DOT	Integrated Transport Plan	National Transport Act	Co – ordination and
			standardization of
DLA	Land Reform	South African Land Policy	transportation Redress to the previously
DLA		Restitution of Land Act	disadvantaged and
	Development and Planning /		uisauvantageu anu
	LUMS/ Directive Principles	Development Facilitation Act	

<u></u>		
	White Paper on Spatial Planning and Land Use Management and Land Use	Promote sustainable human settlements
	Bill	

7. COST ESTIMATES/BUDGET

Table 7: Cost components for the IDP review process:

NO.	DESCRIPTION	BUDGETED
		AMOUNT
1.	Road shows and Public participation	
2.	Workshops & Meetings	R 1 000 000.00
3	Advertisements	
4.	Printing Documentation	
TOTAL		R 1 000 000.00

8. KEY IDP, BUDGET AND PMS TIME SCHEDULE OF EVENTS

In accordance with section 28 of the Local Government: Municipal Systems Act 32 of 2000 that stipulates that:

- (1) Each municipal council, within a prescribed period after the start of its elected term, must adopt a process set out in writing to guide the planning, drafting, adoption and review of its integrated development plan.
- (2) The municipality must through appropriate mechanisms, processes and procedures established in terms of Chapter 4, consult the local community before adopting the process.
- (3) A municipality must give notice to the local community of particulars of the process it intends to follow.

To give effect to the above mentioned requirements the table below depicts various phases and timeframes for the review of the IDP:

aIDP PHASE	DELIVERABLE	CO-ORDINATING/ RESPONSIBLE DEPARTMENT	LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENT	TIMEFRAME
	Develop draft 2023/2024 IDP, Budget and PMS process plan	Planning and Development Services	MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s27, 28, 29 and 41) MFMA No.56 of 2003 (s21)	20 June – 19 August 2022
	Alignment with WDM framework for IDP	Waterberg District Municipality	MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s27) MFMA No.56 of 2003 (s21)	27 – 29 July 2022
	WDM District IDP & PMS Managers Meeting	Waterberg District Municipality	MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s28)	27 – 29 July 2022
	Advertise Draft IDP, Budget & PMS Process Plan for public comments	Planning and Development Services	MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s28)	01 – 30 July 2022
Preparation phase	First IDP Steering Committee	Planning and Development Services	MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s 17 and 28)	12 August 2022
	WDM District Municipal Manager's Forum	Waterberg District Municipality	MFMA No.56 of 2003 (s21and 24)	27 July 2022
	First IDP Representative forum	Planning and Development Services	MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s 16, 17 and 28) MFMA No.56 of 2003 (s21)	19 August 2022
	First District IDP Representative forum	Waterberg District Municipality	MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s28)	17 August 2022
	Table Draft 2023/2024 IDP, Budget and PMS process plan to council	Mayor and Municipal Manager	MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s28)	30 August 2022
	Give notice to the local community of particulars of the Process Plan	Planning and Development Services	MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s28)	30 August – 13 September 2022

IDP PHASE	DELIVERABLE	CO-ORDINATING/ RESPONSIBLE DEPARTMENT	LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENT	TIMEFRAME
Analysis phase	Provincial District Engagement Session	CoGHSTA, OTP and Waterberg District Municipality	MFMA No.56 of 2003 (s21) MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s29)	September 2022
	Public engagement/Community Based Planning session	Planning and Development Services	MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s16 and 17)	20 September – 30 September 2022
	Community Consultation Forums on proposed 2023/2024 tariffs, indigent credit, credit control, and free basic services	Finance Services	MFMA No.56 of 2003 (s21and 24)	10 October 2022 – 28 February 2023
	WDM District Municipal Manager's Forum	Waterberg District Municipality	MFMA No.56 of 2003 (s21and 24)	27 October 2022
	Second IDP Steering Committee	Mayor and Municipal Manager	MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s 17 and 28)	04 November 2022
	Second IDP Representatives Forum	Mayor and Municipal Manager	MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s 16, 17 and 28) MFMA No.56 of 2003 (s21)	11 November 2022
Strategies phase	WDM District IDP & PMS Managers Meeting	Waterberg District Municipality	MFMA No.56 of 2003 (s21and 24)	16 November 2022
	Provincial District Engagement Session	COGHSTA, OTP and WDM	MFMA No.56 of 2003 (s21) MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s29)	November 2022
	Second District IDP Representatives Forum	Waterberg District Municipality	MFMA No.56 of 2003 (s21 and 24)	22 November 2022
	Strategic Planning session	Planning and Development Services	MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s 26)	30 November – 02 December 2022
	Consolidation and alignment with national, provincial and district strategies	Planning and Development Services	MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s 26)	22 November – 6 December 2022
Project phase	Project identification	Planning and Development Services	N/A	09 – 13 January 2023
	Task team consultation	Planning and Development Services	N/A	17 – 20 January 2023
	Report on the Mid-Term performance of the SDBIP	Office of the Municipal Manager	MFMA No.56 of 2003 (s72)	25 January 2023
	Table the Draft Annual Report to council	Office of the Municipal Manager	MFMA No.56 of 2003 (s127)	24 January 2023

IDP PHASE	DELIVERABLE	CO-ORDINATING/ RESPONSIBLE DEPARTMENT	LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENT	TIMEFRAME
Project phase	Consolidation and alignment	Planning and Development Services	N/A	24 – 31 January 2023
	Provincial District Engagement Session	COGHSTA, OTP and WDM	MFMA No.56 of 2003 (s21) MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s29)	February 2023
	WDM District Municipal Manager's Forum	Waterberg District Municipality	MFMA No.56 of 2003 (s21and 24)	09 February 2023
	Make the Annual Report public	Office of the Municipal Manager	MFMA No.56 of 2003 (s127)	17 February 2023
	Third IDP Steering Committee	Mayor and Municipal Manager	MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s 17 and 28)	14 February 2023
	Strategic Planning session (Waterberg District Municipality)	Waterberg District Municipality	MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s 26)	27 February – 01 March 2023
	WDM District IDP & PMS Managers Meeting	Waterberg District Municipality	MFMA No.56 of 2003 (s21and 24)	08 March 2023
	Third IDP Representative Forum	Mayor and Municipal Manager	MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s 16, 17 and 28) MFMA No.56 of 2003 (s21)	10 March 2023
	Budget Steering Committee for Draft 2023/2024 Budget	Finance	MFMA No.56 of 2003 (s53)	17 March 2023
	Third District IDP Representative Forum	Waterberg District Municipality	MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s 16, 17 and 28) MFMA No.56 of 2003 (s21)	29 March 2023
	Table Draft 2023/2024 IDP & Budget to Council	Mayor and Municipal Manager	MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s30)	28 March 2023
	Approval of the Oversight Report	Office of the Municipal Manager	MFMA No.56 of 2003 (s127)	28 March 2023
	Final alignment with Waterberg District Municipality, Provincial and National Programmes	Planning and Development Services	MFMA No.56 of 2003 (s21) MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s29)	22 March – 11 April 2023
	WDM District IDP & PMS Managers Meeting	Waterberg District Municipality	MFMA No.56 of 2003 (s21and 24)	04 – 05 April 2023
	WDM District Municipal Manager's Forum	Waterberg District Municipality	MFMA No.56 of 2003 (s21and 24)	25 April 2023
	IDP/Budget Roadshows	Mayor and Steering Committee	MFMA No.56 of 2003 (s23) MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s16 and 17)	11 – 28 April 2023
	Screening, alignment and consolidation of inputs from communities	Planning and Development Services	MFMA No.56 of 2003 (s23)	03 – 09 May 2022

IDP PHASE	DELIVERABLE	CO-ORDINATING/ RESPONSIBLE DEPARTMENT	LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENT	TIMEFRAME
Approval phase	Fourth IDP steering committee	Planning and Development Services	MFMA No.56 of 2003 (s23)	5 May 2023
	Consolidation and alignment	Planning and Development Services	N/A	16 – 18 May 2023
	Fourth IDP Representative Forum	Mayor and Municipal Manager	MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s 16, 17 and 28) MFMA No.56 of 2003 (s21)	12 May 2023
	Budget Steering Committee for Final 2022/2024 Budget	Finance	MFMA No.56 of 2003 (s53)	18 May 2023
	Fourth District IDP Representative Forum	Mayor and Municipal Manager	MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s 16, 17 and 28) MFMA No.56 of 2003 (s21	22 May 2023
	Table the 2023/2024 IDP & Budget to council	Mayor and Municipal Manager	MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s30)	30 May 2023
	Submission of approved IDP & Budget to CoGHSTA and Provincial Treasury	Office of the Municipal Manager	MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s 32)	23 May – 09 June 2023
	Publish approved 2023/2024 IDP & Budget	Planning and Development Services	MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s25)	01 – 30 June 2023
	Approval of SDBIP	Office of the Municipal Manager	MSA No. 32 of 2000 (s38)	01 – 30 June 2023